

Access and Participation Plan 2020/21-2024/25

Date: Revised 2nd September 2019



Contents

1.	Asse	essment	of Performance 3	
	1.1.	Low Par	ticipation Neighbourhoods (LPN)	3
	1.2.	Black, A	sian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) students	4
	1.3.	Mature	students	5
	1.4.	Disabled	l students	5
	1.5.	Care Lea	avers	6
	1.6.	Intersec	tions of disadvantage	12
	1.7.	Other gr	oups who experience barriers in higher education	12
	1.8.	Structur	al factors	16
2.	Stra	tegic Ain	ns, Objectives and Targets	
	2.1.	Access C	Dbjectives and Targets	18
	2.2.	Success	Objectives and Targets	18
	2.3.	Progress	sion Objectives and Targets	18
3.	Who	ole Provi	der Strategic Approach 19	
	3.1.	Overviev	ω	19
	3.2.	Alignme	nt with other strategies	20
	3.3.	Strategi	c Measures	21
	3.4.	Student	Consultation and Involvement	28
	3.5.	Evaluati	on Strategy	28
	3.6.	Monitor	ing progress against delivery of the plan	32
4.	Pro	vision of i	information to students	
AP	PENDI	IX A	University of Chester Evaluation Framework	
AP	PENDI	IX B	Raising Attainment Outcomes Chain for University of Chester	
AP	PENDI	IX C	Financial Support Packages at University of Chester	
AP	PENDI	IX D	Student Consultation and Involvement	



The University of Chester has a strong reputation and track record for welcoming students from a wide range of backgrounds¹ and providing students from underrepresented groups with a high quality and supportive experience. The University has a long-standing commitment to widening participation and fair access and will continue to invest in increasing its intake of undergraduate students from underrepresented groups. We work closely with schools and colleges to improve the achievement of children and young people, and raise awareness of and aspiration to Higher Education (HE). We are also committed to delivering high quality learning and teaching and the provision of excellent support services to include, retain and develop successful learners who may have diverse characteristics.

As part of our whole-student lifecycle approach, support is made available throughout a student's time at University and beyond. This whole-student lifecycle approach is also used in our evaluation strategy and can be seen in our prior commitments to access, student success and progression in previous Access Agreements. Significant investment has been made in these areas, including financial support, and levels of investment will be maintained from 2020/21 onwards to ensure that students from underrepresented groups continue to be supported during their time with us.

1. Assessment of Performance

We have used information from national datasets (such as Office for Students Access and Participation Data Dashboards) and internal management information and data to monitor and evaluate our performance on student access, student success and student progression for underrepresented groups within Higher Education (HE). Our assessment of performance will refer (unless stated otherwise) to the Office for Students (OfS) Access and Participation Data Dashboards² which includes all UK domiciled full-time undergraduate and apprenticeship students and/or UK domiciled part-time undergraduate students³. When using or referring to our internal data, this includes data on all UK domiciled full-time undergraduate but excludes part-time apprenticeships⁴. The years of data presented in each table within our Assessment of Performance represent the following student cohorts for each stage within their lifecycle:

Access	Year by Entrants
Continuation	Year by Entrants
Attainment: Good Honours	Year by Leavers/Graduates
Highly Skilled Employment or Further Study	Year by Leavers/Graduates

Where "performance gaps" are shown, these are the differences between the two population rates (calculated by the University) for that given cohort and lifecycle. Where there are less than 20 students within a given year and underrepresented characteristic, both the OfS and internal data has been suppressed and no data will be shown. All negative performance gaps are highlighted in red text within the data tables and grey shaded areas represent no data available for that year and stage of the lifecycle.

1.1. Low Participation Neighbourhoods (LPN) (Table 1)

We have used the participation of local areas (POLAR) classification groups at the University for a considerable number of years and have found it robust over time⁵. The use of POLAR is embedded into our targeted outreach work, our data management and our departmental work and is a widely used measure of underrepresentation by the HE sector. For our Access and Participation Plan 2020/21-2024/25 we will be using POLAR4 as the dataset but additionally, we will periodically check the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) to ensure we are aware of any gaps in performances and work towards closing the gaps⁶. This analysis will be discussed in <u>Section 1.7</u>. Our proportion of entrants from the least represented group in HE (POLAR4 quintile 1) has been steadily increasing over the last 5 years with just a small decrease for the 2017/18 cohort. However, there continues to be a small negative gap between the most represented groups

- ⁴ Our internal 'Access' data includes those who have left early in the term but excludes our partner institutions and readmitted students. Internal POLAR data is for all ages. ⁵ All references to POLAR are now using POLAR4 classification groups (unless stated otherwise), with quintile 1 being the least represented in HE and quintile 5 being the most represented in HE. Information on POLAR4 classification groups can be found here: www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/polar-participation-of-local-areas/
- ⁶ All references to Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) are based on the English IMD quintiles only. The English IMD measures deprivation in small areas in England called Lower-layer Super Output Areas. More information from the latest IMD can be found here: <u>www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2015</u>.

 $^{^1\,}www.hepi.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/HEPI-Policy-Note-6-Benchmarking-widening-participation-FINAL.pdf$

 $^{^2} www.office for students.org.uk/data-and-analysis/access-and-participation-data-dashboard/\\$

³ OfS 'Access' data includes our partner institutions, students readmitted but excludes students who left early in the term. OfS POLAR data is for under 21 years of age students only.



(quintile 5) and the least represented groups (quintile 1), which is a much smaller negative gap than the national findings that those from quintile 5 were 2.3 times more likely to enter HE than those from quintile 1 (UCAS, 2017)⁷.

Continuation rates from the least represented groups have been improving over the last few years, with the negative continuation gap between quintile 5 and 1 being at its lowest for 2017/18 at just 1%. Good honours degree (1st or 2:1) outcomes from the least represented groups have fluctuated and there has been a persistent negative gap in performance over the last five years between quintile 5 and 1 students. HEFCE (2018) find a consistent sector average negative gap of 10% difference between quintile 1 and 5 obtaining a good honours degree⁸. However, our negative difference was much smaller for 2017/18 and was only 4%.

Whilst our overall highly skilled employment or further study rates have been increasing regardless of the quintile, our students from low participation neighbourhoods (LPN, quintile 1 and 2) have consistently performed worse than those from the other quintile groups (quintile 3 to 5)⁹, similar to the findings from HEFCE (2017)¹⁰. Over time, this negative gap has fluctuated and is currently at 5%.

Due to small numbers of part-time LPN students (less than 20 students), the OfS have suppressed the data for all the life cycle stages.

1.2. Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) students (Table 2)

The proportion of our Black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) entrants decreased between 2013/14 and 2015/16, however our BAME entrants have now increased (although not at the rate found nationally by UCAS, 2017)⁴ and have been at 9.4%-9.5% for the last two years for our full-time population and 6%-7% for the last three years for our part-time population. Our proportion of Black entrants had declined in 2014/15 and 2015/16, but has now increased returning to a level seen in previous years. Reaching BAME students is a particular challenge for us given the population demographic of the region; Cheshire and North Wales. At the 2011 census date, the size of Cheshire West and Chester's ethnic minority population was 2.6%, compared to the North West figure of 9.8% and 14% for England and Wales¹¹. The latest school census by the Department for Education (DfE) shows the proportion of secondary state-funded school BAME students are increasing both nationally and locally to 29.1% for England, 19.6% in the North West and 5.3% within Cheshire West and Chester for 2017¹². Against these demographics we are performing well with our proportions of BAME entrants. For instance comparative to the region, our latest entrant data (2018/19) shows over half our entrants (55.1%) are from the North West region, with BAME entrants exceeding this at 61.8% from the North West region, of which 17.7% are local from Cheshire. Our work to increase BAME entrants will continue.

Overall our BAME student continuation rates have fluctuated but improved over the last five years, with only small variations between the different ethnic groups similar to findings by HEFCE (2017)⁷. The gaps between our White and BAME students, regardless of ethnic group, have now closed for 2016/17 entrants with a larger proportion of full-time BAME students continuing in HE than White students. However, continuation rates for part-time BAME students fluctuate significantly when compared to White students from a positive gap of 9% in 2016/17 to a 19% negative gap for 2017/18. We will monitor and provide support to our part-time BAME population as part of our institutional strategy to improve student success. HEFCE (2017) findings show that the proportion of White graduates achieving a good honours degree is significantly higher than BAME graduates⁷, with White students showing the highest proportion of 1sts or 2:1s and Black students with the lowest proportion (HEFCE, 2018)⁵. There is a significant differential negative gap between our students identifying as Black achieving a good honours degree compared to those identifying as White. There is also a negative gap in the proportion of our Asian students and mixed ethnicity students gaining a 1st or 2:1 compared to White students, however these negative gaps are reducing. Due to small numbers of part-time BAME students (less than 20 students), the OfS have suppressed the data for attainment rates.

⁷ www.ucas.com/file/140396/download?token=TC7eMH9W

⁸ webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20180405115303/http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/year/2018/201805/

⁹ Low Participation Neighbourhoods (LPN) refers to quintile 1 and 2 of POLAR4 classification groups.

¹⁰ https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20180322112445tf_/http://www.hefce.ac.uk/media/HEFCE,2014/Content/Pubs/2017/201720/HEFCE2017_20.pdf

¹¹ https://inside.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/find_out_more/datasets_and_statistics/statistics/census_2011

 $^{^{12}\,}www.gov.uk/government/statistics/schools-pupils-and-their-characteristics-january-2017$



The differential gap between our students identifying as Black and those identifying as White gaining highly skilled employment or study 6 months after graduating has closed and has been positive for the last two years, with a larger proportion of our Black students in highly skilled employment or further study than White students. These results are in contrast to the national findings from the Graduate Labour Market Statistics over the last few years (DfBIS 2016, DfE 2017, and DfE 2018)^{13,14,15}. For our Asian students and mixed ethnicity students, performance gaps have fluctuated and there is a small negative gap of 4.5% gaining highly skilled employment or study when compared to White students. Due to small numbers of part-time BAME students (less than 20 students), the OfS have suppressed the data for highly skilled employment or study rates.

1.3. Mature students (Table 3)

Unlike national trends found by Universities UK (2018)¹⁶, we have seen a growth in the proportion of mature entrant's year-on-year, with the exception of the 2017/18 cohort, where nationally the sector saw a fall in UK mature applications to HE (UCAS, 2017)^{17,18}. A significant number of our part-time population are mature students.

Mature students are more likely to leave their course of study after their first year (HEFCE, 2017)⁷. We also see a negative gap between young students and mature students continuing in HE, with the age group of 21-25 years showing the widest (but not significant) negative gap in continuation rates for 2017/18. HEFCE (2018) found a 12% negative difference between young and mature students gaining a good honours degree for 2016-17 graduates⁵. We also saw a negative gap between young and mature students for the 2015/16 and 2016/17 cohorts, however this gap has now closed with a larger proportion of our full-time mature students gaining a good honours degree in 2017/18.

Both our young and mature students demonstrate a steady increase in highly skilled employment or study rates 6 months after graduating, but with more mature graduates (full-time and part-time students) in highly skilled employment or study than young graduates. Our students aged 26 or over at the point of entry demonstrate the highest rates of highly skilled employment or study, which is in line with the DfBIS (2016) findings that younger age groups (the younger ages of 21-30 at the point of graduating) had lower highly skilled employment rates than other age categories¹⁰. Our mature graduates have consistently performed 22-28% better in highly skilled employment or study rates than our young graduates over the last five years which outperforms the Graduate Labour Market Statistics findings of 15-19% difference between 2015-2017 (DfBIS 2016, DfE 2017, and DfE 2018)^{10,11,12}.

There are negative gaps between our mature full-time compared to our mature part-time population across all stages of the life cycle which we will monitor and provide support to our part-time mature population.

1.4. Disabled students (Table 4)

Whilst our part-time disabled entrants fluctuate, there is an overall increasing trend in our proportion of full-time entrants with a declared disability. This supports the national trend of more applications to HE from those with a declared/known disability (UCAS, 2017)¹⁹.

We have seen a fluctuating gap in continuation rates for our full-time disabled students when compared to nondisabled students and there is a negative gap of 5.7% in continuation rates for 2016/17. There has also been a negative gap in continuation rates for our part-time disabled students compared to non-disabled students. HEFCE (2017) found that those in receipt of Disabled Students' Allowance (DSA)²⁰ tend to continue in HE at similar rates to non-disabled students, and disabled students not in receipt of DSA generally do worse compared to those in receipt of DSA and nondisabled student⁷. Our internal data supports this research as there is a negative gap in successful outcomes at level 4 between our disabled students in receipt of DSA and our disabled students not in receipt of DSA, however this gap is beginning to reduce from 9.4% in 2014/15 to 6.1% in 2017/18. The gap between our disabled students in receipt of

¹³ www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/518654/bis-16-232-graduate-labour-market-statistics-2015.pdf

¹⁴ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/610805/GLMS_2016_v2.pdf

¹⁵ www.gov.uk/government/statistics/graduate-labour-market-statistics-2017 ¹⁶ www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/facts-and-stats/data-and-analysis/Documents/patterns-and-trends-in-uk-higher-education-2018.pdf

¹⁷ www.ucas.com/file/135631/download?token=jwJ7Dg4S

¹⁸ For both OfS and internal data, young students are under 21 years of age and mature students are 21 or over, unless stated otherwise. 19 www.ucas.com/file/139636/download?token=9 xv-zGM

²⁰ Government allowance for students to cover any extra study-related costs an individual may have because of an impairment, mental health condition, learning difficulty or long term illness. More information can be found here: www.gov.uk/disabled-students-allowances-dsas

DSA and our disabled students not in receipt of DSA gaining a good honours degree had closed in 2015/16 and 2016/17 and there is only a small negative gap of 1% for 2017/18. Students with a declared disability tend to do worse at obtaining a good honour degree outcome than non-disabled students, with those not in receipt of DSA performing the worst (HEFCE 2017, 2018)^{7,5}. Whether in receipt of DSA or not, our disabled students tend to do worse when compared to non-disabled students, however our negative gap is only small at 1.8% in 2017/18. Due to small numbers of part-time disabled students (less than 20 students), the OfS have suppressed the data for attainment rates.

Our disabled students, either full-time and part-time students, gaining highly skilled employment or study 6 months after graduating have performed worse than non-disabled students and this has been persistent over the last five years. This is consistent with the Graduate Labour Market Statistics over the last few years (DfBIS 2016, DfE 2017, and DfE 2018)^{10,11,12}. There is also a negative gap in 2017/18 for our disabled students not in receipt of DSA when compared to non-disabled students or when compared to our disabled students in receipt of DSA. The outcomes of those from different disability groups are varied across each cohort and lifecycle, however all disability groups show negative gaps in 2017/18 at each stage of the lifecycle, with students with a declared sensory or physical impairment showing the widest (but not significant) of negative gaps. However, the small number of students categorised as having a sensory or physical impairment may be impacting this result.

1.5. Care Leavers

Due to the small numbers of Care Leavers (less than 20 students), we must suppress the Care Leaver data. Overall, the number of our verified Care Leaver entrants have increased since 2014/15 but in recent years this has plateaued²¹. The National Network for the Education of Care Leavers (NNECL) in 2017 also found a positive increase in the number of Care Leavers entering higher education, although participation rates are still substantially lower than other young people²². The number of our verified Care Leavers successfully completing their first year of study has increased, whilst the number achieving good honours degrees has declined over the last three years. The number gaining highly skilled employment or study 6 months after graduating has remained high, with all of our Care Leavers for the last two years (2015-16 and 2016-17 graduates) being in highly skilled employment or study. Although we cannot make any significant statistical analysis from our extremely small number of Care Leaver graduates, we will continue to monitor attainment results and provide bespoke support for these students.

Summary

Our proportion of entrants from all underrepresented groups has been increasing over the last five years. Students from LPN, mature students and students with a declared disability (regardless of disability classification) all show negative gaps in continuation rates. Disabled students, both full-time and part-time, show the widest of gaps in continuation rates therefore this will be an area that we will set an objective and target to reduce this performance gap. The gap has closed in 2016/17 for all our full-time BAME students, regardless of ethnicity group, and our Care Leaver population successfully completing their first year of study has increased year-on-year.

Our attainment rates show significant negative differences between BAME students compared to White students, with Black students performing significantly worse. This will be an area that we will set objectives and targets to reduce the performance gaps. Students from LPN, students with a declared disability (regardless of disability classification) and our Care Leavers all show negative gaps in attainment rates, however our mature students (both full-time and parttime) outperform our young student population with a significantly larger proportion gaining a good honours degree.

Students from LPN and students with a declared disability (regardless of disability classification) all show small negative gaps in highly skilled employment or further study rates 6 months after graduating. However, our BAME students outperform our White students, particularly our Black graduates, and our mature students, both full-time and part-time, significantly outperform our young students in gaining highly skilled employment or further study 6 months after graduating. All our Care Leavers for the last two years are in highly skilled employment or further study, however this population of students are extremely small so no statistical significance can be noted.

²¹ Verified care leaver data represents students who have produced the appropriate Care Leaver evidence in order to obtain the Care Leaver Bursary as they have spent at least 3 months' in Local Authority care before the age of 16. The University works hard to identify all Care Leavers.

 $^{^{22}\,}www.nnecl.org/resources/moving-on-up-report?topic=guides-and-toolkits$

Table 1: Proportions of POLAR4 quintile 1-5 students and percentage gaps in POLAR4 quintile 1-5 performance rates at University of Chester

				Proport	tions of stude	nts and Perco	entage Gaps i	n Performan	ce Rates
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source				Ye	ear		
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
		OfS Dashboard	LPN (Q1 and Q2)		37.4%	37.5%	37.8%	38.8%	38.9%
		OfS Dashboard	Quintile 1		17.5%	16.3%	17.4%	18.8%	18.4%
		OfS Dashboard	Quintile 2		19.9%	21.2%	20.4%	20.0%	20.5%
Access	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	Other POLAR groups (Q3, Q4 and Q5)		62.6%	62.5%	62.2%	61.1%	61.1%
Access	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	Quintile 3		20.1%	21.0%	21.4%	20.1%	18.8%
		OfS Dashboard	Quintile 4		19.4%	21.2%	20.6%	20.7%	21.4%
		OfS Dashboard	Quintile 5		23.1%	20.3%	20.2%	20.3%	20.9%
		OfS Dashboard	Performance gap between Q5 and Q1		5.6%	4.0%	2.8%	1.5%	2.5%
	Continuation rates	OfS Dashboard	Gap between other POLAR groups and LPN	3.0%	4.4%	1.2%	2.7%	0.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q1	4%	9%	2%	4%	1%	
Success		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q2	4%	2%	1%	4%	1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q3	1%	1%	0%	2%	-1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q4	2%	1%	-1%	2%	1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Gap between other POLAR groups and LPN		2.0%	4.6%	0.3%	4.7%	2.7%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q1		5%	6%	3%	15%	4%
Success	Attainment: Good Honours	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q2		4%	-2%	0%	3%	3%
	nonours	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q3		7%	-4%	2%	6%	2%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q4		0%	-4%	0%	3%	-1%
		OfS Dashboard	Gap between other POLAR groups and LPN	3.0%	3.2%	7.5%	4.4%	5.0%	
	Highly Skilled	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q1	3%	1%	5%	2%	9%	
Progression	Employment or	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q2	0%	7%	9%	5%	7%	
	Further Study	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q3	-3%	2%	-1%	-2%	6%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Q5 and Q4	-1%	0%	0%	1%	5%	



Table 2: Proportions of Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) students and percentage gaps in Ethnicity performance rates at University of Chester*

				Proport	tions of stude	nts and Perc	entage Gaps i	n Performan	ce Rates		
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source			Year						
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18		
		OfS Dashboard	Total proportion of BAME full-time students		8.4%	7.2%	6.8%	9.4%	9.5%		
		OfS Dashboard	Total proportion of BAME part-time students		5%	4%	6%	7%	6%		
	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	White		91.6%	92.8%	93.2%	90.6%	90.5%		
Access		OfS Dashboard	Black		3.3%	2.0%	1.9%	3.1%	3.0%		
		OfS Dashboard	Asian		2.4%	2.9%	2.2%	3.2%	3.1%		
		OfS Dashboard	Mixed		2.4%	1.9%	2.2%	2.7%	2.8%		
		OfS Dashboard	Other		0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%		
	Continuation rates	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Black	-0.9%	-2.4%	2.4%	0.7%	-3.2%			
Success		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Asian	3.1%	-2.4%	-1.6%	2.7%	-4.2%			
Success		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Mixed	5.1%	-1.4%	2.4%	0.7%	-1.2%			
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME	2.1%	-1.4%	0.4%	0.7%	-3.2%			
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Black		-0.1%	22.7%	16.6%	41.1%	28.7%		
Success	Attainment: Good	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Asian		19.9%	17.7%	15.6%	14.1%	8.7%		
Success	Honours	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Mixed		14.9%	7.7%	3.6%	6.1%	3.7%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME		10.9%	17.7%	11.6%	20.1%	10.7%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Black		-1.7%	9.9%	-6.9%	-15.5%			
Prograssian	Highly Skilled	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Asian	12.4%	-6.7%	4.9%	-1.9%	4.5%			
Progression	Employment or Further Study	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and Mixed	7.4%	3.3%	-0.1%	13.1%	4.5%			
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME	6.4%	2.3%	5.9%	-0.9%	-2.5%			

*Full-time students unless stated otherwise.



Table 3: Proportions of Mature students and percentage gaps in Mature student performance rates at University of Chester**

				Proport	tions of stude	nts and Perce	entage Gaps i	n Performan	ce Rates
Lifecycle	Measure	OfS Dashboard Full-time Young Students - Under 21 77.0% 77.9% 76.5 OfS Dashboard Full-time Mature Students - 21 and Over 23.0% 22.1% 23.5		ear					
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
		OfS Dashboard	Full-time Young Students - Under 21		77.0%	77.9%	76.5%	74.7%	76.1%
Access	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	Full-time Mature Students - 21 and Over		23.0%	22.1%	23.5%	25.3%	23.9%
Access	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	Part-time Young Students - Under 21		2.0%	1.0%	3.0%	1.0%	1.0%
		OfS Dashboard	Part-time Mature Students - 21 and Over		98.0%	99.0%	97.0%	99.0%	99.0%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 21 - 25	5.0%	0.7%	3.6%	-0.2%	5.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 26 - 30	-4.0%	0.7%	-0.4%	0.8%	1.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 31 - 40	-3.0%	-1.3%	0.6%	2.8%	-1.2%	
Success	Continuation rates	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 41 - 50				0.8%	3.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and Mature students	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	0.8%	2.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time and part-time Mature students	8.0%	6.0%	18.0%	11.0%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 21 - 25		-7.8%	-1.8%	4.2%	2.1%	2.6%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 26 - 30		-11.8%	-14.8%	3.2%	1.1%	-2.4%
	Attainment: Good	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 31 - 40		-4.8%	5.2%	3.2%	7.1%	-1.4%
Success		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 41 - 50		-22.8%	-3.8%	13.2%	20.1%	-8.4%
	Honours	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and Mature students		-8.8%	-1.8%	4.2%	3.1%	-0.4%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time and part-time Mature students		5.0%	7.0%	12.0%	16.0%	8.0%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 21 - 25	-17.8%	-19.8%	-22.0%	-17.0%	-17.1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 26 - 30	-27.8%	-35.8%	-36.0%	-31.0%	-27.1%	
	Highly Skilled	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 31 - 40	-32.8%	-26.8%	-32.0%	-25.0%	-30.1%	
Progression	Employment or	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and 41 - 50	-30.8%	-33.8%	-37.0%	-27.0%	-20.1%	
	Further Study	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between Young and Mature students	-25.8%	-26.8%	-28.0%	-23.0%	-22.1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time and part-time Mature students	-1.0%	-1.0%	4.0%	3.0%	6.0%	

**No data for age group 51 or over due to less than 20 students. The data has been suppressed by the OfS. Full-time students unless stated otherwise.



Table 4: Proportions of Disabled students and percentage gaps in Disabled students performance rates at University of Chester*

				Proportio	ons of studer	its and Perce	ntage Gaps iı	n Performanc	e Rates	
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source			Year					
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	
A	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	Disabled Students		9.7%	10.6%	12.8%	13.1%	14.5%	
Access	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	Non-Disabled Students		90.3%	89.4%	87.2%	86.9%	85.5%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and							
		olo Dusilbourd	cognitive/learning disability	-4.1%	0.7%	-1.8%	3.9%	6.7%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and	10.000	0.20/	4.20/	0.00/	6 70/		
			mental health issue/long standing illness	18.9%	-0.3%	4.2%	8.9%	6.7%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and sensory/physical impairment	3.9%	-0.3%	0.2%	-4.1%	8.7%		
Success	Continuation rates		Percentage gap between no disability and	3.370	-0.378	0.270	-4.170	0.770		
		OfS Dashboard	other disability or multiple disabilities		-0.3%	-5.8%	0.9%	2.7%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time non-							
			disabled and disabled students	-0.1%	0.7%	-0.8%	2.9%	5.7%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time non-							
		OIS Dashboard	disabled and disabled students	-0.2%	7.0%	7.0%	13.0%			
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and							
		olo Bashboara	cognitive/learning disability		7.2%	6.7%	5.3%	8.0%	1.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and							
			mental health issue/long standing illness				-17.7%	-5.0%	1.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and		F 00/	1.7%	7 70/	F 00/	1.00/	
			sensory/physical impairment Percentage gap between no disability and		-5.8%	1.7%	-7.7%	5.0%	1.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	other disability or multiple disabilities		-0.8%	-3.3%	2.3%	10.0%	-3.2%	
			Percentage gap between non-disabled and		-0.876	-3.370	2.370	10.076	-5.270	
Success	Attainment: Good	OfS Dashboard	disabled students		3.2%	1.7%	-0.7%	4.0%	1.8%	
	Honours		Percentage gap between non-disabled			,	••••			
		Internal Data	students and disabled students in receipt							
			of DSA			3.6%	6.3%	7.0%	3.4%	
			Percentage gap between non-disabled							
		Internal Data	students and disabled students not in							
			receipt of DSA			5.6%	-4.6%	3.7%	4.4%	
			Percentage gap between disabled students							
		Internal Data	in receipt of DSA and disabled students not							
			in receipt of DSA			1.9%	-10.9%	-3.3%	1.0%	



				Perform	ance Rates a	nd/or Percer	ntage Gaps in	Performance	e Rates
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source			Year				
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and						
		olo Bashboara	cognitive/learning disability	-0.6%	-3.7%	1.9%	-0.8%	0.1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and				12.20/	F 10/	
			mental health issue/long standing illness Percentage gap between no disability and				13.2%	5.1%	
		OfS Dashboard	sensory/physical impairment	12.4%	-1.7%	-5.1%	-11.8%	15.1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between no disability and	12.470	1.770	5.170	11.070	13.170	
	Highly Skilled n Employment or Further Study		other disability or multiple disabilities		13.3%	4.9%	3.2%	0.1%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time non-						
			disabled and disabled students	3.4%	1.3%	2.9%	1.2%	3.1%	
Progression		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time non-						
			disabled and disabled students	2%			24%		
			Percentage gap between non-disabled						
		Internal Data	students and disabled students in receipt						
			of DSA			4.1%	-3.5%	0.9%	
			Percentage gap between non-disabled						
		Internal Data	students and disabled students not in			a aa/	2.00/	= 404	
			receipt of DSA			-2.9%	-3.0%	5.1%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between disabled students						
		Internal Data	in receipt of DSA and disabled students not in receipt of DSA			-7.0%	0.5%	4.2%	
	l					-7.0%	0.3%	4.270	



1.6. Intersections of disadvantage (Table 5)

We have conducted an analysis of intersections of the underrepresented groups using the OfS Access and Participation Data Dashboards². Where particular intersections where not available, we have used our internal data. Further analysis of POLAR4 and BAME has been conducted as these are the underrepresented groups which show both persistent and wide gaps in performances at particular points in the student lifecycle. Key results from this analysis are discussed below and presented in Table 5. Our female entrants from LPN backgrounds have been increasing over the last five years, particularly young females from LPN backgrounds across all ethnicities. Our young male entrants from LPN (across all ethnicities) have been declining, particularly 18/19 year old white males from LPN. This may be explained by our overall proportion of male entrants which have been declining over the last five years. This can be seen in the national findings that females being significantly more likely to participate in HE than males (HEFCE, 2017)⁷.

Our most recent LPN negative gaps in continuation rates are not statistically significant and analysis of the intersections, including gender and ethnicity, show our continuation rates for LPN students are improving. Our BAME students outperform our White students for continuation rates, regardless of POLAR background. Our internal analysis used successful outcomes rates at level 4 and results show there is a persistent negative gap in successful outcomes at level 4 for males from LPN, for all ages, when compared to males from other POLAR backgrounds and when compared to females from all the POLAR backgrounds. Overall there is a significant negative gap in attainment of good honours degrees for our BAME students from LPN backgrounds, particularly for mature BAME students or young male BAME students, compared to our BAME students from other POLAR backgrounds, and compared to our White students from all POLAR backgrounds. Our male population perform significantly worse than our female population regardless of POLAR background and our young male BAME students have the lowest degree attainment rates and the widest of attainment gaps regardless of their POLAR background²³.

A higher proportion of our female students are in highly skilled employment or study 6 months after graduating than males, regardless of their POLAR background, which is the reverse of the DfE (2017) and HEFCE (2018) findings that more males are in highly skilled employment^{10,5}. Significantly more of our mature students are in highly skilled employment or study compared to our young students, particularly female mature students, regardless of their POLAR background. The gap between mature students from quintile 5 and 1 gaining highly skilled employment or study rates between our young students for 2016/17 graduates. However, the gap has now successfully closed for White young (18/19 years old) males from LPN.

1.7. Other groups who experience barriers in higher education – IMD (Table 6)

Whilst the University uses POLAR4 as a classification group for disadvantage, we have also reviewed the data on IMD to check for any performance gaps, and intersections of this group, using the OfS Access and Participation Data Dashboards². Key results from this analysis are discussed below and presented in Table 6. Full-time entrants from IMD quintile 1 have been increasing and now are our highest quintile group than other IMD quintiles (quintiles 2-5) for 2017/18. However, the negative gap between our part-time entrants from IMD quintile 5 and 1 is increasing. Our male entrants from IMD quintiles 1 and 2 were increasing slightly (unlike our LPN male population) but dipped for 2017/18, and we have more BAME entrants from IMD quintiles 1 and 2 than BAME entrants from other quintiles.

Our most recent IMD negative gaps in continuation rates are not statistically significant and analysis of the intersections, including gender and ethnicity, show our continuation rates for students from IMD quintile 1 and 2 are improving, except for part-time IMD quintile 1 students. Our BAME students outperform our White students for continuation rates, regardless of IMD background, and whilst our full-time males from IMD quintiles 3 to 5 continuation rates outperform males from IMD quintiles 1 and 2, our part-time males from IMD quintiles 1 and 2 do better than males from IMD quintiles 3 to 5. There is a significant negative gap in attainment of good honours between IMD quintile 5 and 1 for both full-time and part-time students. There is a persistent and significant gap between our BAME full-time students from IMD quintiles 1 and 2 compared to BAME students from other IMD backgrounds, and compared

²³ Where there are less than 20 students within a given year and within an underrepresented characteristic, the data has been suppressed. Due to small numbers, performance gaps cannot be presented for young male BAME students from LPN in Table 5.



Table 5: Proportions of, performance rates and gaps in the performance rates of the intersections of underrepresented groups at University of Chester*

				Proport	tions of stude	nts and Perce	ntage Gaps in	Performance	Rates
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source				Ye	ar		
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
		OfS Dashboard	Males		35.5%	35.0%	32.1%	32.4%	31.8%
		OfS Dashboard	Females		64.5%	65.0%	67.9%	67.6%	68.2%
		Internal Data	Young (18/19) White males from LPN			8.9%	8.5%	7.9%	7.6%
		Internal Data	LPN, Female, Young students			18.7%	18.9%	19.0%	19.9%
		Internal Data	LPN, Female, Mature students			7.7%	8.5%	8.0%	7.9%
Access	Entrant rates	Internal Data	LPN, Male, Young students			10.6%	10.5%	10.6%	10.0%
		Internal Data	LPN, Male, Mature students			2.6%	2.4%	2.8%	2.8%
		Internal Data	Other POLAR groups, Female and Young			30.8%	31.6%	30.8%	31.5%
		Internal Data	Other POLAR groups, Female and Mature			7.6%	8.1%	9.6%	7.6%
		Internal Data	Other POLAR groups, Male and Young			18.8%	17.4%	16.3%	17.3%
		Internal Data	Other POLAR groups, Male and Mature			3.2%	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between female and male						
			students	5.2%	3.5%	4.0%	1.1%	1.8%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME from						
			LPN	8.0%	-4.0%	-7.0%	-2.0%	-4.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between BAME from other						
			POLAR groups and BAME from LPN	14.0%	1.0%	-8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between males from other						
	Continuation rates or		POLAR groups and males from LPN	2.0%	3.0%	5.0%	1.0%	2.0%	
Success	Successful Outcome	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between females from other						
	at Level 4 rates		POLAR groups and females from LPN	3.0%	5.0%	0.0%	3.2%	-0.1%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between young females and				a a a (
			young males from LPN		6.4%	2.8%	6.6%	6.8%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between mature females and		10.494	E E0(14 604	F F0(
		Internal Data	mature males from LPN		10.4%	5.5%	14.6%	5.5%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between young (18/19) White						
			males from other POLAR groups and young (18/19) White males from LPN			3.9%	1.1%	6.0%	2 49/
			(10/13) WHILE HIGHES HOTH LPIN			3.9%	1.1%	0.0%	2.4%



				Proport	tions of stude	nts and Perce	ntage Gaps in	Performance	Rates
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source				Ye	ar		
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between female and male						
			students		7.5%	5.0%	9.2%	7.7%	9.5%
			Percentage gap between BAME from other						
		OfS Dashboard	POLAR groups and BAME from LPN		-15.0%		-2.0%	20.0%	18.0%
			Percentage gap between White and BAME from						
	Attainment: Good	OfS Dashboard	LPN		0.0%		9.0%	19.0%	22.0%
Success	Honours	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between females and males						
			from LPN		14.0%	5.0%	10.0%	2.0%	7.0%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between females and males						
			from other POLAR groups		6.0%	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between young (18/19) White						
			males from other POLAR groups and young			• • • • •	a -a (
			(18/19) White males from LPN			-0.1%	2.7%	-1.4%	-3.0%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between male and female						
			students	-5.8%	-1.8%	-5.3%	-7.6%	-5.6%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME from						
			LPN	9.0%	5.0%		-21.0%	-3.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between male and female from						
			LPN	-2.0%	-3.0%	3.0%	-10.0%	5.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between males and females						
			from other POLAR groups	-1.0%	3.0%	-4.0%	-5.0%	-7.0%	
	Highly Skilled	Internal Data	Percentage gap between young and mature						
Progression	Employment or		from LPN			-26.7%	-21.4%	-27.7%	
	Further Study	Internal Data	Percentage gap between young and mature						
			from other POLAR groups			-20.4%	-20.2%	-16.3%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between young students from						
			quintile 5 and 1			4.4%	3.4%	8.2%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between mature students from						
			quintile 5 and 1			1.7%	-1.0%	-1.7%	
		Internal Data	Percentage gap between young (18/19) White						
			males from other POLAR groups and young						
			(18/19) White males from LPN			1.4%	13.2%	-6.7%	



				Propo	rtions of stude	ents and Perce	ntage Gaps in	Performance F	Rates
Lifecycle	Measure	Data Source				Ye	ar		
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1		3.4%	0.6%	2.1%	0.2%	-2.3%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1		7.0%	2.0%	8.0%	6.0%	8.0%
Access	Entrant rates	OfS Dashboard	IMD groups quintile 1-2, BAME students		5.6%	4.4%	4.5%	6.5%	6.7%
		OfS Dashboard	IMD groups quintile 3-5, BAME students		3.5%	3.5%	2.9%	3.8%	3.8%
		OfS Dashboard	IMD groups quintile 1-2, male students		12.2%	12.6%	11.8%	12.8%	10.9%
		OfS Dashboard	IMD groups quintile 3-5, male students		23.9%	23.1%	20.7%	19.5%	20.7%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1	7%	5%	5%	3%	2%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1	-1%	6%	4%	11%	13%	
Success	Continuation rates	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME from IMD quintile 1-2	1.0%	-6.0%	2.0%	-3.0%	-3.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time males from IMD quintile 3-5 and quintile 1-2	6.0%	6.0%	5.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time males from IMD quintile 3-5 and quintile 1-2	1.0%	-1.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%		
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1	1.070	8%	12%	11%	17%	10%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1			25%	-10%	20%	
Success	Attainment: Good Honours	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME from IMD quintile 1-2		7.0%	16.0%	12.0%	25.0%	10.0%
		OfS Dashboard OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between females and males from IMD quintile 1-2 Percentage gap between males from IMD quintile		-3.0%	6.0%	11.0%	4.0%	7.0%
		OIS Dashboard	3-5 and guintile 1-2		-5.0%	12.0%	12.0%	8.0%	3.0%
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between full-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1	0%	4%	4%	-4%	-3%	
Progression	Highly Skilled Employment or Further	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between part-time students from IMD quintile 5 and 1	16%	7%	16%	15%	-5%	
110510331011	Study	OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between White and BAME from IMD quintile 1-2	11.0%	-2.0%	13.0%	-2.0%	-1.0%	
		OfS Dashboard	Percentage gap between males and females from IMD quintile 1-2	-11.0%	-5.0%	-6.0%	-3.0%	-5.0%	

Table 6: Proportions of, performance rates and gaps in the performance rates of other groups experiencing barriers in HE at University of Chester*



to White students from IMD quintiles 1 and 2. However, this negative gap has significantly reduced for 2017/18. Similar to our LPN results, our male population perform worse than our female population regardless of IMD background. However, the negative gap between males from IMD quintiles 3 to 5 and quintiles 1 and 2 is reducing. Due to small numbers of part-time male or BAME students from IMD quintiles 1 and 2 (less than 20 students), the OfS have suppressed the data for attainment rates.

In highly skilled employment or study, both full-time and part-time students from IMD quintile 1 outperform their counterparts from IMD quintile 5 for 2017/18. A higher proportion of our female students regardless of IMD background are in highly skilled employment or study 6 months after graduating than males, which again is the reverse of the DfE (2017) and HEFCE (2018) findings that more males are in highly skilled employment^{10,5}. Due to small numbers of part-time male or BAME students from IMD quintiles 1 and 2 (less than 20 students), the OfS have suppressed the data for highly skilled employment or study rates.

Summary

Our male population from LPN backgrounds are declining, particularly White males aged 18/19 years. Therefore this will be an area that we will set an objective and target to increase entrant rates. There is a persistent and wide gap for successful outcomes at level 4 for our male students of all ages from LPN backgrounds compared to all others. However, this gap does not reflect in their continuation rates. The LPN and IMD quintiles 1 and 2 gaps in continuation rates are overall generally improving for all groups (ethnicity and gender), except for part-time IMD quintile 1 students.

Our male students show a significant gap in attainment rates compared to our female students regardless of their POLAR background, and our young male BAME students have the lowest attainment rates regardless of their POLAR background. Our students from IMD quintile 1, regardless of ethnicity or gender or full-time or part-time, had a significant gap in attainment rates in 2016/17 compared to students from other IMD quintiles. We will set an overall objective and target to address BAME attainment performance gaps which will work towards reducing the attainment gap for BAME IMD quintile 1 students. As there is a large overlap between our POLAR4 quintile 1 and IMD quintile 1 students (i.e. 63.6% of our POLAR4 quintile 1 students for 2018/19 also are categorised as IMD quintile 1), we will set objectives and targets to reduce attainment gaps for POLAR4 quintile 1 students which will also address the significant attainment gap for our IMD quintile 1 students. Males from LPN will also be an additional area that we will set objectives and targets to reduce the performance gap.

There is a persistent negative difference between our young students from quintile 5 and quintile 1 gaining highly skilled employment or study, therefore this will be an area that we will set an objective and target to reduce the performance gap. Generally females outperform males regardless of POLAR or IMD backgrounds. There had been persistent negative gaps for white students aged 18/19 from LPN and other POLAR backgrounds but this has now successfully closed.

It must be noted that the analysis of the intersections of our student population, **particularly our BAME student population**, must be met with great caution since our BAME population is very small.

1.8. Structural factors

When looking at structural factors that may impact on of our underrepresented student outcomes, there is a persistent gap in the average tariff between:

- Male and female students (104 for males compared to 116 for females in 2017/18;
- Black and White students (98 for Black compared to 113 for White in 2017/18).

Our analysis of structural factors shows that:

- LPN students are less likely to enter with A Levels and have higher proportions of Access and BTEC qualifications compared to other POLAR backgrounds;
- Male students are more likely to have BTEC qualifications than our female students and;
- Black students are disproportionately likely to have a non-standard qualification background (such as access or other qualifications) compared to all other ethnic groups. For example, in 2017/18, 35.6% of Black students had



A/AS Levels compared to 61.3% of White students, 21.8% of Black students had Access qualifications compared to 9.5% of White students and 22.8% of Black students had other qualifications compared to only 7.1% of White students.

Students of all backgrounds who enter with Access or BTEC qualifications are significantly less likely to gain a good honours degree, something which was highlighted through our analysis when using the OfS financial support toolkit²⁴. In 2017/18, the percentage difference between BAME and White students with Access qualifications upon entry gaining a good honours degree was 20.7% and the percentage difference between BAME and White students with Access qualifications with BTEC qualifications upon entry gaining a good honours degree was 21.9%.

We also have higher numbers of BAME students, particularly Black students, in subject departments where attainment at the University is currently lower. One department with the fourth highest proportion of BAME students also has the fourth lowest attainment rate within the University. The results from our OfS toolkit also support this finding that the principle subject of a degree was a significant factor for degree outcomes. The OfS toolkit also showed that degree result was a significant factor across all years, with those gaining a good honours degree significantly more likely to achieve graduate level employment or study also found by the DfS (2017)¹¹.

These structural factors may be impacting on the outcomes of our underrepresented groups, particularly our male students from LPN backgrounds and BAME population. We will be cognisant of these differences when working to reduce the gaps in continuation, attainment and progression.

2. Strategic Aims, Objectives and Targets

The University of Chester has a long term strategic aim to ensure that all students entering the University should have equal opportunities to access and succeed in higher education, and to gain successful and fulfilling careers. Following the analysis of our assessment of performance, we have identified the significant and widest of gaps in performance between comparative groups for the following underrepresented groups at stages of the student lifecycle:

- Students with a known disability at the success stage of the student lifecycle (continuation);
- Black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) students at the success stage of the student lifecycle (degree attainment);
- Male students from LPN at the success stage of the student lifecycle (degree attainment);
- Students from POLAR4 quintile 1 at the success stage of the student lifecycle (degree attainment) and²⁵;
- Young (under 21 years of age) students from POLAR4 quintile 1 at the progression stage of the student lifecycle.

Although the OfS Access and Participation Data Dashboards did not show any wide gaps in 18 year old entrant rates for any of the underrepresented groups compared to the UK population of 18 year olds, our analysis of the intersections found the following student population to be on the decline:

• Young (18/19 year olds) white male students from LPN in the lifecycle area of access.

We intend to sustain our investment in activities aligned to addressing other national key performance measures set by the OfS in order to maintain and continuously improve on our current positive performance. This will allow us to contribute to the other national key performance measures for underrepresented groups in the areas of access, success and progression.

We also intends to increase entrants from other underrepresented groups through targeted raising attainment work in the subject areas of English and Maths within the local region, sustained engagement with Pre-16 school pupils and collaborative activity across the region. We use the Higher Education Access Tracker (HEAT) service to understand and inform us which schools in the region to target, providing regional data on schools which have high proportions of

²⁴ A statistical tool using binary logistic regression analysis. More information can be found here: <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/promoting-equal-</u>

opportunities/evaluation-and-effective-practice/financial-support-evaluation-toolkit/statistical-tool/ ²⁵ The use of POLAR is embedded within our institution and data management systems. There is an overlap between POLAR4 quintile 1 and IMD quintile 1 students. A target to reduce the POLAR4 quintile 1 gap will also work towards reducing the IMD quintile 1 gap.



pupils from underrepresented groups²⁶. For all our raising attainment and sustained outreach activities, the short term (self-assessments) and medium term (GCSE attainment or progression through KS4) measurable objectives and targets will be developed, with data collected and reported over the next year. The longer term outcomes will be measured through HEAT tracking progress at an individual level or at a school level over time. Long term outcomes are expected to be reportable by 2024-25. Our collaborative NCOP work through Higher Horizon+ Consortium and Shaping Futures may follow a similar outcomes chain to our sustained outreach programmes, however this is still to be confirmed by the networks and is expected to be clarified over the next year.

Our collaborative NCOP work within the North West region, Shropshire and Staffordshire, along with our targeted sustained engagement with Pre-16 school pupils will help us to work towards increasing our Care Leaver entrants by 50% by 2024-25 as this is the region where we receive the highest amount of applications from Care Leaver's. However, our target data must be suppressed due to our small numbers of Care Leavers (less than 20 students).

The University has set itself a number of measurable objectives and ambitious targets that address the significant and widest of gaps in performance for the above underrepresented groups at particular stages of the student lifecycle. We are determined to achieve these over the next five years. These are as follows:

2.1. Access Objectives and Targets

- Objective 1 To increase the proportions of young (18/19) white male entrants from LPN.
- Target PTA_1 To increase the proportions of full-time young (18/19) white male entrants from low participation neighbourhoods (POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2) from 7% (baseline 2018/19) to 9% by 2024-25.

2.2. Success Objectives and Targets

- Objective 2 To work towards reducing the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students.
 - Target PTP_1 To reduce the percentage difference in continuation rates between full-time non-disabled and disabled students from 5.7% (baseline 2016/17) to 3% by 2024/25.
- Objective 3 To work towards reducing the degree attainment (1sts or 2:1s awards) gaps and to eventually
 eliminate the gaps between White and Black students, White and BAME students, between female and male
 students from LPN, and between students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1. This will contribute to the national
 key performance measures set by the OfS.
 - Target PTS_2 To reduce the percentage difference in degree attainment (1sts or 2:1s awards) between full-time White and Black students from 28.7% (baseline 2017/18) to 10% by 2024-25, and to eliminate the absolute gap by 2030-31.
 - Target PTS_3 To reduce the percentage difference in degree attainment (1sts or 2:1s awards) between full-time White and BAME students from 10.7% (baseline 2017/18) to 4% by 2024-25, and to eliminate the absolute gap by 2030-31.
 - Target PTS_4 To reduce the percentage difference in degree attainment (1sts or 2:1s awards) between full-time female and male students from low participation neighbourhoods (POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2) from 7% (baseline 2017/18) to 4% by 2024-25.
 - Target PTS_5 To reduce the percentage difference in degree attainment (1sts or 2:1s awards) between students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1 from 4% (baseline 2017/18) to 2% by 2024-25²⁵.

2.3. Progression Objectives and Targets

- Objective 4 To work towards reducing the progression gap between young (under 21) students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1.
 - Target PTP_1 To reduce the percentage in highly skilled employment or study between full-time young (under 21) students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1 from 8.2% (baseline 2016/17) to 4.5% by 2024-25, and to eliminate the absolute gap by 2030-31.

²⁶ https://heat.ac.uk/



Previous analysis of our performance data produced similar results as the current assessment of performance, however some gaps are not significant, or wide, or have now been closed. When considering the previous targets, the University considered whether:

- 1. The targets had already been met and there were no significant gaps in relation to the target group and comparator group. Therefore the target has been discontinued;
- 2. The targets had not been met but there were no significant or wide gaps. Therefore the target has been discontinued in order to concentrate efforts where there are the widest gaps (although work will continue to reduce all known gaps);
- 3. The targets had been met and there was still wide gaps, some of which were significant. Our new objectives and targets for 2020/21 will cover these target groups with the particular stage of their lifecycle;
- 4. The targets have not been met and there was still wide gaps, some of which are significant. Our new objectives and targets for 2020/21 will cover these target groups with the particular stage of their lifecycle;
- 5. Populations of three of our previous targets were too small, some of which were less than 20 student. These targets have been discontinued, although work will continue to reduce all known gaps;
- 6. A selection of the new targets developed in 2018/19 and 2019/20 are covered by our new objectives and targets for 2020/21 and;
- 7. The targets that are output based targets have been discontinued as these are no longer appropriate.

3. Whole Provider Strategic Approach

3.1. Overview

The University works across all areas of the student lifecycle to ensure that students from all backgrounds have equality of opportunity in accessing, succeeding in and progressing from higher education. Management oversight of this work is exercised throughout the University; at local level by Heads of Departments, by Activity Leads, our Student Support services, centrally by the APP team, and by the Chester Students' Union (CSU) who are continually consulted and engage with Access and Participation work throughout the academic year. All major reports and findings are fed into the Committee structure and directly to the Senior Management Team and the Governing Council of the University. The Governing Council are made aware of the work and commitments that are made to OfS, and carefully monitor this commitment through committee meetings, APP targets that form part of the University's Risk Register and a new Key Performance Indicator (KPI) in the draft University Corporate Plan for 2020-25. Part of our whole provider strategic approach is to further develop and embed our Evaluation Framework (**Appendix A**) across the institution in order to adopt a 'theory of change' culture within our day-to-day management²⁷. This will lead to a better understanding of evidence of impact for our institutional activities ensuring a clearly defined standards of evidence is embedded within our core institutional practices (encouraged by the OfS)²⁸.

We will ensure that its outreach, collaborative and broader awareness and aspiration-raising activity is consolidated and continued, whilst also strengthening the focus on raising attainment, particularly within the local region. To do this, we shall continue to invest in outreach activities with all age groups, starting at primary school level and continue through to Further Education (FE) Colleges. We will also continue prioritising investment to sustain and enhance a range of learning and teaching, pastoral and welfare, and employability services and structures to support the continuation, student success and progression of all our students (both full-time and part-time students), and particularly to improve our performance with regard to underrepresented students. Evaluation of our Student Retention and Success programme and our involvement with the HEA's "What Works?" Phase 2 programme showed that retention is a complex issue, with multifaceted reasons why students find it difficult to achieve, or decide to leave Higher Education²⁹. The evaluation highlighted a number of areas of good practice found to have significant impact including study skills development within the academic context; attendance monitoring; developing students' sense of belonging; and the benefits of peer mentoring. Our Employer Engagement Strategy is being revised and will focus on a strategic approach to collaborative work focussing on employers who will add the most value to our students, local and regional employers

²⁷ www.theoryofchange.org/what-is-theory-of-change/

²⁸ www.officeforstudents.org.uk/media/6971cf8f-985b-4c67-8ee2-4c99e53c4ea2/access-and-participation-standards-of-evidence.pdf

 $^{^{29}\,}www.heacademy.ac.uk/individuals/strategic-priorities/retention/what-works$



who have widening participation and diversity at the heart of their recruitment and employment strategies, links with employers to offer more internships and scholarships, and increase the number of employer partnerships from which underrepresented groups will benefit.

3.2. Alignment with other strategies

Learning and Teaching Strategy

Our commitment to developing students' academic skills is set out in the Learning and Teaching Strategy 2017-22 and the associated *"Enabling Academic Skills"* document³⁰. We prioritised the embedding of academic skills (including academic literacy and numeracy) in the curriculum at every level and undertook an audit in 2017/18 of all level 4 core modules to assess the extent and nature of explicit study skills embedded within level 4 programmes. The results of this audit led to the production of two embedding academic skills mapping templates, one at programme level and one at modular level, which are progressively being used by academic staff for full-time and part-time course content. The Learning and Teaching Strategy also sets out aims for the development of an **inclusive curriculum**. Starting with staff and resource development led by a specialist staff within the Learning and Teaching Institute, we intend to raise teaching staff's awareness of inclusive practice, to embed equality into teaching practices and thereby to support improvement in retention and success for underrepresented groups partaking in full-time or part-time study. Our curriculum needs to reflect the diversity of our students, their backgrounds, learning styles and encourage a sense of belonging³¹. This large scale commitment will work towards achieving our objective to reduce the percentage gap in degree attainment (1st and 2:1) between White and BAME students, and White and Black students, and to reduce the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students.

Building on existing research, the Learning and Teaching Institute and other faculty staff will continue with **pedagogic and research projects** investigating entrant rates, success rates, attendance levels, assignment submissions, retention and degree classifications of LPN students, students with non-A Level entry qualifications, young males and BAME students. For example, our Psychology department conducted research in 2017/18 to explore male undergraduate achievement in the subject discipline by reviewing patterns in attainment by gender, ethnicity and POLAR background, and conducted student focus groups and staff focus groups. The research found a disproportionate percentage of male students from quintile 1 tend to enter with non-A Level route and perform the worse. Findings from student and staff focus groups identified key issues pertinent to the students, such as integration with staff, better links with assessments, and acknowledging the social context that male students may experience in a female-dominated degree. The department aim to formulate an action plan to reduce the attainment gaps of males from LPN, which will then be shared across Faculties to help work towards achieving our objective to reduce the percentage gap in degree attainment (1st and 2:1) between female and male students from LPN.

Embedding employability in the curriculum

Students from underrepresented groups are less likely to participate in the extra-curricular activities that enhance their employability³². Because of these engagement issues we will continue to work with subject departments to embed employability within the curriculum and the revised Employability Strategy will impact on all students, both full-time and part-time students, equally. Employability is developed in a tailored approach within each department. Every academic department has a Career Consultant from Careers and Employability and a Careers & Employability Link Tutor who is the main contact within a department for progressing employability within the curriculum and delivering bespoke activities. Careers & Employability developed the Chester Difference Graduate Profile as a framework to enable departments to review and develop employability-led learning outcomes for their specific area. The Chester Difference Graduate Profile is defined by a set of skills, aptitudes and behaviours that reflect the requirements of employers, and develop students' employability skills. Annual Partnership Agreements between Careers & Employability and subject departments enable a review of activity and a plan for the following year.

 $^{^{30}\,}www1.chester.ac.uk/learning-and-teaching-institute/learning-and-teaching-strategy$

³¹ www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Documents/2019/bame-student-attainment-uk-universities-closing-the-gap.pdf

 $^{^{32}\} https://upp-foundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/1714-Social-Mobility-and-University-Careers-Services-report-Digital.pdf-.pdf$



Equality and Diversity

The University is committed to its responsibilities under the Equality Act 2010 and Public Sector Equality Duty 2012 (PSED) and has given due consideration to the impact of these proposals on groups of students and potential students with protected characteristics, including those of disability, minority races, age and gender, and to those from disadvantaged backgrounds, such as Care Leavers. Whilst some activities delivered under our Access and Participation Plan are targeted at specific groups, we take an inclusive approach to those within cohorts, whether they share protected characteristics or not. Most activities to promote student success and progression are offered to the cohort as a whole, both full-time and part-time students, with additional promotion and monitoring and evaluation processes focusing on underrepresented groups.

Students and staff are encouraged to attend and participate in the annual Diversity Festival fortnight which has been running since 2006. The Festival champions diversity within the University and seeks to inform, celebrate and challenge attendees with a range of events covering all Diversity areas. For many years equality and diversity has formed part of successive corporate strategies of the University namely a Single Equality Scheme (2007-2012) and in Equality Act objectives (2012 onwards). For Staff, there are a number of initiatives to further raise awareness of and embed Equality and Diversity practices within the work of the University. These initiatives and embedded practices help to create an organisation that celebrates and supports the differences of all individuals who either work or study with us. These include;

- Disability Confident employer scheme;
- EHRC's Working Forward charter;
- Mental Health First Aid training;
- Mandatory online Equality and Diversity training for all staff;
- Recruitment and selection training focusing on equality and diversity issues;
- Mandatory training for managers on 'Managing Equality and Diversity'. This enables managers to manage staff, chair committees, make decisions, support students etc. and be mindful of all equality and diversity issues and analyse decisions etc. for equality impact and;
- Six active network groups including a Disabled Staff Group, Parents' Network, Carers' Network, Women's Networking Forum, Menopause network and LGBT+ Staff group. Notably, the LGBT+ Staff Group have recently worked to achieve The Navajo Merseyside and Cheshire LGBTIQA Charter Mark, an equality mark supported by LGBTI community networks across Merseyside and Cheshire. Gaining the institutional Charter Mark is an indication of good practice, commitment and knowledge of the specific needs, issues and barriers facing lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) people.

Responsibility for implementation and monitoring our performance lies with the Equality Forum of Senate which is chaired by a member of Senior Management. Any equality issues arising from support and subject departments can be raised and discussed at the Equality Forum, informing long-term equality projects, such as the Athena SWAN Charter Mark. The Forum oversees University-wide objectives and considers our Access and Participation along with the University's Equality Act objectives. We monitor the effects of its work on equality and diversity in part through an annual report analysing data on student intake, performance and progression according to particular characteristics, including age, disability and ethnicity and an annual report covering both the APP monitoring and the Single Equality Scheme is made to both the General Purposes Committee and HR Committee of Governing Council.

3.3. Strategic Measures

We have a number of overarching strategic measures delivered to all full-time and part-time students regardless of background to support each stage of the student's lifecycle and address gaps in performance. Some of the success and progression measures are embedded activity within students' degree programmes, whilst others are optional. Access measures are all optional for individual pupils and schools.

3.3.1. Access Measures

The following access measures are in place to support the achievement of our objective 1:



Degree Apprenticeships and Foundation Degrees

Degree Apprenticeships and Foundation Degrees form part of our strategy on widening access to HE, providing prospective students with alternative and a wider range of programmes. These programmes attract a more diverse range of students, for example, in 2017/18 our Foundation Degrees attracted 7.1% more LPN students, 30.1% more male students, 8.7% more BAME students and 3.1% more disabled when compared to our undergraduate population, whilst the proportions of mature students on Degree Apprenticeship programmes was 93%³³. Offering these diverse programmes will support our objective to increase the proportion of young (18/19) white male entrants from LPN.

Raising GCSE Attainment in Schools

The Faculty of Education and Children's Services delivers a supportive development programme to target schools within the local Cheshire and North West region, which aims to raise attainment levels of GCSE students in the subject areas of English and Maths. We use HEAT to understand and inform which schools in the region to target²⁶. The raising attainment and supportive development programme we offer includes:

- GCSE revision sessions and masterclasses (direct class room based activity)
- Collaborative lesson/curriculum design and implementation and assessment and marking criteria design
- Coaching and professional development of teachers to increase knowledge, skill sets and confidence

Led by an experienced subject practitioner, the programme can be adapted to suit the needs of the school, with the programme delivering some or all of the above depending on the school needs. The programme also goes through a continuous evaluation process. For example, to enable a revised model for teaching statistics to be put in place, a Teacher Research Group (TRG) was formed whereby all teachers within the Maths Department observed and reviewed the impact of the learning models on pupils' understanding. Teachers then used this evaluation to inform their own planning for teaching statistics in KS3 and KS4. Our Raising Attainment Outcomes Chain found in **Appendix B** outlines the short term, medium term and long term outcomes as previously mentioned in the '<u>Strategic Aims, Objectives and Targets</u>' section of this document. The raising attainment and supportive development programme will support our objective to increase the proportion of young (18/19) white male entrants from LPN.

We have already seen some success within our Maths attainment work finding that one school's maths GCSE results increased by 10% following the steady implementation of the collaborative lesson and curriculum design since summer 2016. The support for teaching offered through action research, collaborative planning and support for pupils through direct GCSE intervention, had contributed to the on-going improvement developments within that school. The evidence of impact for this on-going collaborative approach, particularly for Maths, has been shared externally to contribute to and influence sector practices. A paper called *'Translating research into practice through collaborative planning: The case of the so-called grid method'* was published in the Proceedings of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathematics³⁴, and a conference presentation called *'Using collaborative planning to support algebraic reasoning for middle to lower attaining GCSE students'* was delivered at the joint Association of Mathematics Education Teachers (AMET) and National Association of Mathematics Advisers (NAMA) Conference in March 2019³⁵.

Sustained School Engagement

Our Sustained Outreach Programme, a two-year programme with the same cohorts of student, aims to broaden the aspirations of Year 10 and 11 students from underrepresented groups through a range of activities, particularly those who are:

- The least represented groups in HE (POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2), particularly males
- BAME students
- Looked-after children
- Disabled students
- Pupil Premium students
- And first generation students

³³ Internal data based on UK domiciled full-time UK domiciled Foundation Degree entrants.

³⁴ www.bsrlm.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/BSRLM-CP-38-3-01.pdf

³⁵ www.ametonline.org.uk/conferences/conference-spring-2019/



Target schools are identified using the HEAT service and the first year focuses on enrichment, raising awareness of the breadth of study available at the University, whilst the second year of the programme will shift focus to attainment raising to support students with their studies²⁶. The programme follows our Evaluation Framework and has a theory of change outcome chain at its core with short term, medium term and long term outcomes, as previously mentioned in the '<u>Strategic Aims, Objectives and Targets</u>' section of this document, being developed. The programme forms an important element of our longitudinal activity that will be tracked through HEAT to help evaluate the effectiveness of the activity in order to inform the design and planning of the programme in future years. The Sustained Outreach Programme will support our objective to increase the proportion of young (18/19) white male entrants from LPN, and increase our Care Leaver population.

Collaborative Work: National Collaborative Outreach Programmes (NCOP)

We are committed to building collaborative initiatives and distinct collaborative work under two **National Collaborative Outreach Programmes (NCOP)**, both of which will form their own new Higher Education Outreach Hub for the regions which are designed to complement our Access and Participation Plan work and will act as a forum for collaborative working/best practice sharing on issues such as disabled students, young people from military families and Care Leavers. The collaborative work will work towards increasing our Care Leaver population. Both Outreach Hubs are still under development and short term and medium term targets will be developed and reported on in the next couple of years. Long term outcomes will be measured by HEAT²⁶. Our NCOP work includes:

- **Higher Horizons + Consortium** is the collaboration of HE providers across Cheshire, Shropshire and Staffordshire, and evaluation is monitored through the East Midlands Widening Participation Research and Evaluation Partnership (EMWPREP) database, and participants' progress into HE is the measure of programme impact.
- Shaping Futures is a collaboration of HE providers based within/around Liverpool City Region to aid HE progression by providing impartial HE information, advice and guidance to local learners from target wards/underrepresented groups. The programmes and activities are designed to align to the Gatsby benchmarks³⁶.

3.3.2. Student Success Measures

The following student success measures are in place to support the achievement of our objectives 2-3 (along with bespoke department/subject led projects that will be implemented on a long-term basis or as one-off activities):

Staff Awareness

An understanding and oversight of access, student success and progression issues for underrepresented groups is a key area for development for the University and will be exercised at local level by Heads of Departments. Through our Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation (CME) of academic programmes, academic staff are provided with data on underrepresented groups enabling them to review and report on differences in outcomes for different groups as part of the annual reporting process. The data is provided on POLAR, ethnicity, age and disability at departmental and at a granular programme-level for full-time and part-time students in order to better understand performance gaps and make better evidence-based judgements to develop their plans for teaching and support³¹. Working with this data, the new APP sub-committee will develop strategies, plans and targets in conjunction with faculties to reduce attainment gaps for underrepresented groups. Faculties and departments will use the Evaluation Framework to revise existing activities or develop new activities in order to support us in achieving our objectives to reduce the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students, and to reduce the attainment gaps between White and BAME students, particularly Black students, between female and male students from LPN and between students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1 (addressing the IMD quintile 1 attainment gap). Resources will be invested into internal and external workshops and training in the areas of our continuation, attainment and progression performance gaps for underrepresented groups, inclusive curriculum, embedding study skills, evaluation and 'theory of change' workshops, etc. to enhance staff awareness and understanding of cultural and structural problems in order to changes ways of working.

³⁶ www.gatsby.org.uk/education/focus-areas/good-career-guidance



Wellbeing and Mental Health

With the growing numbers and national concerns of students in HE with wellbeing and mental health issues, we recognise it is important to create a learning environment and organisational culture that enhances the health and wellbeing of our students. Evidence of impact of the activities will be developed and embedded using our Evaluation Framework. The following measures will support our objective to reduce the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students:

- Qualified Counsellors;
- Wellbeing and Mental Health section on the University app 24/7 access to well-being and support, proactive
 activities and suggestions to relieve symptoms, signposting to support services and formal routes for medical
 attention;
- Promotion of 'Big White Wall' a leading digital mental health service for people aged over 16, offering 24/7
 professionally moderated online peer support network, self-management resources, creative arts and writing
 therapies and clinical tests³⁷;
- Nightline due to be launched in 2020 this will provide out of hours support for students;
- Named contacts for students experiencing issues with their gender or sexuality (covering the protected characteristics of gender, sexuality and gender reassignment);
- Mental Health First Aid Training providing staff with fundamental knowledge, deeper understanding and basic practical skills on how to spot the triggers and signs of mental ill health, the confidence to step in, reassure and support an individual in distress and guide them towards appropriate support and;
- Targeted events for mature and commuting students and student led volunteer activities.

Disability and Inclusion

To address the reduction in Disabled Students' Allowance (DSA) funding for full-time and part-time students with a declared disability, we provide the following support:

- Early Arrival Day for students with social and communication difficulties and complex mental health difficulties, to arrive a day earlier in order to reduce anxiety and orientate themselves around campus and the city;
- Individual library and research induction;
- Help towards the initial purchases of specialist equipment;
- Long term and short term Assistive Technology equipment loans;
- Assistive Technologist providing one-to-one or group base support to students with technology and learning resource needs;
- Disability Support Officers providing specialist support, including monthly one-to-ones, drop-in sessions and groups activities;
- Book fetch service and accessible books service within the Library;
- Disability Academic Skills Tutors;
- Inclusion Plans outlining requirements needed to support the students study, assessment and exam processes and;
- Assistive Technology equipment and non-medical helper support for examinations.

Evidence of impact of our Assistive Technologist one-to-one programme has shown significantly more students attending these one-to-one sessions achieve a successful outcome at level 4 (90% achieved a successful outcome at level 4 in 2017/18) compared to disabled students who do not attend the one-to-one sessions (83.7% achieved a successful outcome at level 4 in 2017/18)³⁸. This work will continue to support our objective to reduce the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students.

³⁷ www.bigwhitewall.co.uk/

³⁸ Internal data based on UK domiciled full-time undergraduate and pre-registration Nursing students.



Lecture Capture

We are currently developing the facilities for a lecture capture service whereby the output of a projector and the staff audio in lectures are captured, recorded and made available to students via our VLE and our mobile app. This will be piloted in 2019/20 and aims to strengthen and enhance our quality of learning and teaching, improving accessibility for disabled students, and meeting student needs and expectations, particularly our commuting and mature students³⁹. The ability to review lectures for revision, note-taking and content review, for students with differing learning styles, and for students who find it difficult to take notes at speed or have particular learning difficulties will contribute to our efforts to reduce the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students^{40,41,42}. Feedback data from the use of the recorded lectures will enable staff to enhance their teaching, particularly around areas students find more difficult.

Peer mentoring

Peer mentoring helps to integrate and engage new level 4 students into the University and aims to increase the sense of belonging, integration into university life and support student's successful progression to the next level. Evidence of impact has shown that level 4 successful outcomes rates of mentees are increasing each year and now outperform the successful outcome rates of our level 4 population³⁸. In particular, mentees with a disability have similar level 4 successful outcome rates to the rest of the non-disabled students not participating in the programme and they do better than those with a disability not participating in the programme. The programme will continue to target full-time and part-time students pre-arrival, particularly those from underrepresented groups, to participate in the programme and share the evidence of impact results with the wider University to promote the effectiveness of the programme. This work will support our objective to reduce the continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students.

Care leavers and young carers

We offer specific support packages for Care Leavers, including a key contact and other named contacts within particular support departments (such as Finance, Carers & Employability), to support the student with all aspects of university life. We also offer an allocated peer mentor upon arrival, budgeting sessions, reduced rate accommodation all year round, assistance when applying for financial support, support with childcare and support into employment or further study. Continuation and employment rates of our Care Leavers are increasing year-on-year and we aim to provide evidence of impact through case studies from our Care Leaver population. For young adult carers, we provide a dedicated key contact to provide support as we recognise that it can be difficult balancing university life with responsibilities at home. We promote a supportive social media page called 'You Care, We Care: Carers Network' providing national and local sources of information, help and guidance⁴³.

Study Skills

Our Study Skills Advisory Team offer one-to-one support and individualised email 'feedforward' support, seminar sessions, online resources for both staff and full-time and part-time students, joint-delivery of module sessions with academic staff, and the embedding of study skills within the curriculum. The Study Skills Advisory Team's monitoring and evaluation of impact of its activities in 2017/18 showed positive and encouraging findings that of those students attending one-to-one support, receiving feedforward support or attending seminars³⁸:

- 80.9% of Black students successfully completed Level 4 compared to 76.4% of our overall Black population;
- 80% of Asian students successfully completed Level 4 compared to 73.3% of our overall Asian population and;
- 78.2% of Mixed/Other ethnicity students successfully completed Level 4 compared to 76.8% of our overall Mixed/Other ethnicity population.

Further evidence of impact will reflect on students average module marks and attainment rates, particularly BAME students and Black students. This will help us to gain more of an understanding of impact on the measures we are taking to achieve our objective to reduce the attainment gap between White and Black students and White and BAME

³⁹ www.lizthomasassociates.co.uk/projects/2018/Commuter%20student%20engagement.pdf

⁴⁰ http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/24540/

⁴¹ www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.11120/ened.2009.04020004

⁴² https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1469787408100196

⁴³ https://www.facebook.com/ucarewecare/



students. Findings from our Assessment of Performance have shown that BAME, particularly Black students, and our male student population are disproportionately likely to have a qualification background (BTEC, Access or other qualifications) that generally leads to lower attainment. To engage these students with the support we offer early on, our Study Skills Advisory Team will be expanding our pre-induction study skills event (piloted in 2018/19) to prioritise BAME students, male students from LPN and students from POLAR4 quintile 1 with BTEC, Access or other qualifications, along with other underrepresented groups with these qualifications attending the event. This will allow for identification and support to be offered to these students early on. Repeat support will be offered to these student throughout their lifecycle at the University. Our Study Skills Advisory Team will also develop an embedding of academic skills pathway for academic staff in order to provide a strategic, coherent and coordinated approach to embedding study skills with the curriculum. This will be developed, implemented and monitored over the next couple of years. This work will support our objective to reduce the attainment gap between White and BAME/Black students, between female and male students from LPN and between students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1 (addressing the IMD quintile 1 attainment gap).

Engagement Analytics

In 2016/17 we produced a bespoke web application and extended the University's existing mobile app to record and monitor student attendance for full-time and part-time students. The system produces automated emails and online reports to help provide real-time accessible information, for both staff and students. Since the implementation of the system across the University, the correlation rate between average module mark and attendance rates for BAME students in 2017/18 was the same as White students (0.46 positive correlation)⁴⁴. Understanding that attendance can positively correlate to grades is an important factor in supporting our work towards achieving our targets to reduce the attainment gap between White and BAME/Black students and female and male students from LPN. Understanding issues of non-attendance and supporting those who do not attend led to further expansion of the system in 2018/19 to include an individual Student Dashboard which enables data from many sources to be visualised in a single place, allowing monitoring of each student's level of engagement beyond attendance, such as engagement with our Virtual Learning Environment (VLE) and e-resources. Evidence of impact from staff focus groups has shown that this additional engagement information opens up dialogue between staff and students, is a good way to see how their students are getting on, if there are any issues at a module level, and helps differentiate between students who may not be able to attend (due to work commitments, illness) but are engaged and those who are simply struggling to attend and engage. Students have complex needs and the dashboards are helping staff to interpret and assess the individual student's situation in order to provide the appropriate support for that particular student. This engagement with individual students will support our objectives 2-4; reduce continuation gap between non-disabled and disabled students, and reduce the attainment gap between White and BAME/Black students, between female and male students from LPN and between students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1 (addressing the IMD quintile 1 attainment gap).

Collaborative Work: Subject departments

We have two large professional based Faculties; Education and Nursing, both of which are very experienced at working collaboratively with employers, practitioners and other stakeholders. Large proportions of the learning takes place in practice and therefore the partnership approach underscores everything we do. The Nursing programmes have a wide entry gate and we strive to recruit non-traditional learners that are representative of the communities that we serve. An excellent example of learning gain for disadvantaged students can be seen at our Birkenhead campus where 74% of students entered the programme without 'A' level qualifications (the majority were Access and BTEC students) and this campus recently celebrated 55% of their students achieving good honours degrees³⁸.

3.3.3. Progression Measures

The following progression measures are in place to support the achievement of our objective 4:

Inspiring Futures

To address the performance gaps for full-time and part-time students from underrepresented groups, we are launching *Inspiring Futures* in Autumn 2019 which will be a programme of careers related activities and support, provided by our

⁴⁴ Internal data based on Level 3, 4, 5 and 6 UK domiciled full-time undergraduate students.



Careers and Employability Department. This brings together current activities that have been shown to have the most impact on graduate outcomes with 2 new initiatives which have demonstrated impact at other universities. Destinations data demonstrates that a significantly higher proportion of LPN go on to highly skilled employment if they have participated in one of our initiatives now under the banner of *Inspiring Futures*. In the aggregated DLHE data for 2012/13 to 2016-17 LPN graduates' highly skilled employment rates increased by **6%** if they participated compared to LPN graduates who did not participate³⁸. *Inspiring Futures* will offer to full-time and part-time students:

- **Chester Difference Award** a skills award enabling students to gain recognition for the skills gained through extra-curricular activities;
- Chester Internship Programme a 350 hour internship programme;
- **Chester Employability Fund** funding of up to £500 to purchase items or undertake activities to enhance employability only accessible to underrepresented groups;
- **Venture** a programme equipping students with the skills and knowledge to start-up their own business, enter self-employment, freelance or innovate within an organisation;
- **Employer Visits** a programme of visits to employers in cities other than Chester for students from underrepresented groups only;
- Assessment Centre Simulation an opportunity to take part in an assessment centre day with a Level 3 AQA accreditation in Employability Skills for students from underrepresented groups only and;
- **Careers Guidance** Effective one-to-one careers guidance appointments will continued to be offered to all students in order to improving graduate outcomes.

We anticipate that these activities will continue to impact positively on the highly skilled graduate outcomes of those who participate, particularly those from underrepresented groups, supporting our overall objective to reduce the progression gap between young students (under 21) from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1. In line with other universities offering these activities these will raise aspiration, develop confidence and increase applications to graduate recruiters.

Collaborative Work

Employers' recruitment practices, location and the general graduate labour market play a huge role in influencing which graduates succeed. The revised Employer Engagement Strategy will promote a strategic approach to collaborative work focussing on employers who will add the most value to our students. The collaborative targeted approach will see us:

- Develop key relationships with employers who meet a set of criteria including those with widening participation and diversity at the heart of their graduate recruitment strategies;
- Link in with Santander Universities to offer underrepresented groups internship opportunities;
- Continue supporting our sustained relationships with employers who input into our Venture Programme;
- Deliver our Excellence in Enterprise and Entrepreneurship Scholarship, supported and funded by Santander Universities, for those participating in the Venture Programme and;
- Deliver our new Employer Engagement Strategy to increase the number of employer partnerships that underrepresented groups will benefit from.

In addition to our Careers and Employability services, '*Enhancing Your Employability through Work Based Learning*' is our flagship 5-week employer-based experiential module which has formed a successful part of our undergraduate curriculum for over 20 years. A large part of the success is down to the many ongoing employer collaborations and engagements we have developed with a diverse range employers from both public and private sectors throughout the UK. The placement offers students the opportunity to spend five weeks with an organisation where they are able to develop and reflect on knowledge, skills and abilities appropriate to any work setting. Work Based Learning (WBL) provides the context for real personal growth and continuous development working towards increasing employability and highly skilled employability rates increased by 2.3% in 2014/16, 1.5% in 2015/16 and 2.7% in 2016/17 for those who participated in the experimental module compared to students not participating³⁸.



3.3.4. Financial Support

Targeted financial support bursaries will continue to be offered to all our students including Nursing students. These are offered to minimise the likelihood of students leaving or failing academically for financial reasons. In particular, the 'in kind' element will be used to reinforce student continuation and success activities. Full details of all of our bursaries are found in **Appendix C** (these are subject to change in subsequent years) and are available on the University's website⁴⁵. We have supplemented our Care Leaver financial support to include 50% discounted on University owned accommodation and opened the Care Leaver support to those who have spent at least 3 months' in Local Authority Care before the age of 18 for 2019/20 onwards. We have also developed an Estranged Student financial support package for 2019/20. Evaluation of our Financial Support packages using the OfS financial support toolkit found no significant differences between the performance rates of Bursary and Non Bursary students²⁴. Providing financial support to disadvantaged students remains a key element to lessen the financial strain that students from low income household's experience. In addition, we offer a Travel Bursary for 2018/19 onwards aiding prospective applicants in receipt of benefits (low income), disabled students, Care Leavers or young carers to attend one of our experience days or interviews, with the potential to expend this to our Open Days in future years⁴⁶. Results of the evaluation of impact of the Travel Bursary will be available in due course.

3.4. Student Consultation and Involvement

We have developed and used an annual timeline for consultation periods with our students via our CSU. CSU holds Student Council meetings throughout the year which have fixed agenda items at particular points in the year to discuss Access and Participation activities targeting access, student success and progression for underrepresented groups. The Student Council consists of members from underrepresented student groups, such as BME, LGBT+, mature students, disabled students, etc. who are elected by the student body at the University. The Student Council receives our assessment of performance, summary of the activities we deliver that work towards reducing performance gaps and activity developments that may improve performance. We encourage feedback from Student Council in order for the student voice, particularly the voice from the underrepresented groups, to be heard and to shape the development of the new plan. In addition, CSU receives detailed feedback from the APP team following in-year progress review meetings that take place with our Activity Leads. These points of interaction allow for further consultation and an opportunity for students to express views about our progress against the plan, and to influence institutional practice and approaches to improving access, student success and progression for underrepresented groups. In future, CSU will also receive information on the evaluation of impact from the activities. CSU are represented on all key University deliberative committees and those which have responsibility for Access and Participation, in particular the Academic Quality and Enhancement Committee and the newly formed APP sub-committee. The APP sub-committee will allow CSU to have a direct link to the strategic overview of the evaluation of our access and participation activities. CSU Officers continuously collaborate with us on developing institutional practices and activities by being part of decisionmaking and implementation committees for projects linked to student success and progression (such as Financial Support Working Group and Attendance Monitoring) and will continue to be part of our whole institutional approach to improving student performance and reducing performance gaps for those from underrepresented groups.

CSU have participated in discussions over the content of our Access and Participation Plan and have been consulted over the proposed tuition fees and the form of intended financial support and benefits offered to our students. CSU have provided a statement, found in **Appendix D**, on how they and their representatives have been consulted and involved in the University's access and participation work, along with their procedures for recruiting student representatives and the how they provide opportunities and channels for the student voice to be heard, particularly those from underrepresented groups.

3.5. Evaluation Strategy

Our evaluation strategy for all activities that aim to work towards closing performance gaps for underrepresented groups across their lifecycle has been developed and is managed centrally by the APP team and has been an ongoing

⁴⁵ www1.chester.ac.uk/bursaries

 $^{^{46}\,}www1.chester.ac.uk/undergraduate/undergraduate-visits/visit-us-travel-bursary$



development over the last two years. **Appendix A** outlines the University of Chester's Evaluation Framework. We are using an outcomes chain approach associated with 'theory of change' to enable all those involved with the delivery, monitoring and evaluation of activities to understand the rationale and reasoning behind the activity, to set out clear measurable objectives and the data sources used to measure the objectives and to articulate the desired outcomes and targets for the activity²⁷. The theory of change approach will encourage a better understanding of our evaluation process, produce clearly defined standards of evidence (encouraged by the OfS) which will allow for high quality evaluation of impact evidence, and show how each activity is progressing against its long term aims and targets²⁸. Following the completion of the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool, which enables a critical review of our evaluation strategy to ensure evidence of impact being produced is of a high quality, we are an institution that demonstrates high quality of standards of evidence that are 'emerging or in development'⁴⁷. Our aim is to develop further the standards of evidence and theory of change evaluation strategy/approach and embed this into all our activities.

3.5.1. Strategic context

All those involved with delivering access and participation activities are given the opportunity to meet at least twice yearly to discuss and reflect on the evaluation of impact of their activities, led and managed centrally by the APP team. All activities conduct local level data collection, monitoring, evaluation of impact, and evaluation is an embedded process that is resourced within each of the activities budget. Common protocols for building in data collection and evaluation of impact have been adopted for the majority of our activities and implemented accordingly. For example, evaluation of impact is conducted at the end of the academic year. Data collection primarily for success and progression activities is conducted when our Management and Information System's (MIS) Department provides outcome data following our end of year Assessment Boards. Activity Leads then submit the evaluation of impact template to the APP team annually allowing for an institutional overview of our progress and performance, and areas for future development based on evidence of impact.

Reflection from the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool: The institution recognises that it needs to enhance the existing management structures to further broaden and embed the access and participation agenda. In the light of this, the University has a newly formed sub-committee which monitors our performance and progress towards the plan and targets. This sub-committee will have strategic oversight of our evaluation of impact and evidence collected, our evaluation strategy and areas of improvements for our evaluation methods. The sub-committee will also help support further initiatives designed to reduce performance gaps for underrepresented groups. The sub-committee will enhance links between other University strategies, such as our Learning and Teaching Strategy, and be part of the University's deliberative committee structure. We will also encourage Activity Leads to participate in internal and/or external evaluation-related development opportunities and training to ensure staff are equipped with sufficient skills and knowledge to apply our Evaluation Framework.

3.5.2. Programme design

New activities complete an outcomes chain tool associated with 'theory of change' before beginning delivery of the activity. This ensures evaluation has been specified and included in the initial planning phase and enables all those involved with the delivery, monitoring and evaluation of the activity to understand:

- the rationale and reasoning behind the activity, including internal data or external evidence and research underpinning the need for the activity and its design;
- to set out clear measurable objectives, how data will be collected (qualitative and/or quantitative) and the data sources used to measure the objectives;
- to set out any benchmarks or targets the activity will be monitored against and;
- to articulate the desired outcomes and targets for the activity.

Although the outcomes chain tool was not previously used, existing activities have been reporting their programme design in a similar format to the theory of change approach as part of their end of year monitoring and evaluation of

⁴⁷www.officeforstudents.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/promoting-equal-opportunities/evaluation-and-effective-practice/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluation-self-assessment-tool/evaluation-self-assessment-tool/

impact. Moving forward, existing activities will complete an outcomes chain tool as part of their end of year monitoring and evaluation of impact report.

<u>Reflection from the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool:</u> There was a general need to review existing activities to ensure a robust evaluation strategy, clearly defined measurable objectives, aims and targets are set out, and common protocols for building in data collection and evaluation are embedded within existing activities.

3.5.3. Evaluation design

We are using the standards of evidence approach encouraged by the OfS to evaluate the impact of our activities to ensure we can demonstrate effectiveness of the activities and encourage continuous development of the activities. We can then make informed decisions regarding the future investment of financial resources.

All new activities detail the evaluation design within the initial planning stages including:

- type of evaluation of impact (narrative, empirical or causal) being used to evaluate the activity;
- the type of evidence that will be monitored and evaluated;
- whether the activity's evaluation aims to show a coherent story, association or causal link and;
- a timeline for collection of data and evaluation of the activity, this may also include a within year review of the activities progress and data collection.

The planned timeline will include the collection of data which may originate from different methods / sources which can be collected at different times (pre/mid/post activity). Over the past couple of years we have been developing and working towards embedding an evaluation strategy, similar to the theory of change approach, within our existing activities. Our aim is to develop further the standards of evidence within existing activities and embed our Evaluation Framework within our existing activities as part of the end of year monitoring and evaluation of impact report.

Reflection from the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool: There was a general need to review existing activities to ensure the evaluation design and types of evaluation of impact are appropriate and robust methods of impact evaluation. The University's newly formed sub-committee will broaden the audience of the evaluation of impact of our access and participation activities by being integrated into the formal committee structure. We recognise the selection bias and lack of more inferential statistical analysis when using predominately empirical types of evaluation of impact. We will reflect on conducting research/other research design methods where appropriate.

3.5.4. Evaluation implementation

Activities quantify the resources needed within the initial planning stages, including the allocation of resources for monitoring and evaluation. Evaluation processes are embedded and are proportionate to the activities programme and evaluation design. As part of the evaluation design, all data sources are predetermined and collected, monitored and evaluated at a local level, and all follow clear guidelines with data protection and data sharing. The majority of our activities are able to track progress over time at an individual level (success and progression activities), whilst others can also track individual and school progress over time (access activities). For example, we are a member of the HEAT service which assists Higher Education Institutions in England to target, monitor and evaluate their outreach activity and works in partnership with other participating stakeholders²⁶. In the short term, HEAT will provide details of the types of events and characteristics of the people who attended outreach activities along with pupil, teacher and school feedback to provide a full report on each activity. HEAT's longitudinal tracking system matches records for individual participants to datasets spanning Key Stage 2 through to postgraduate study and employment. One measurable long term outcome will be to evaluate whether the participants attended higher education, in particular whether they attended the University of Chester. In the years to come, HEAT will be able to provide us with information to evaluate the effectiveness of the outreach activities to inform our programme design and activity planning.

<u>Reflection from the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool:</u> We recognise the need to test or pilot self-reporting data, such as surveys, questionnaires, etc. to control for cognitive bias. Risk analysis has occurred at the end of academic year cycle. New activities will now report risk assessments prior to the delivery of the activity and existing activities risk assessments are currently under review.



3.5.5. Learning to shape improvements

At the initial planning phase, activities articulate programme and evaluation design by including:

- how results and the evaluation of impact are expected to be used to improve the activity or improve the evaluation methods;
- identification of any risks or barriers the Activity Leads foresee which may impact on the progress and success of the activity and;
- how activities intend to share the results and evaluation of impact internally and externally (if applicable).

Annual end of year reports are submitted by each activity and these are written to a template which follows the structures of the programme design, evaluation design and evaluation implementation. The reports demonstrate how activities have used the evaluation of impact to inform improvements to the programme design and evaluation methods used within the activity. These improvements are also discussed at the twice yearly monitoring meetings.

The APP team and newly formed sub-committee produce a summary of the evaluation of impact of our activities to share internally with; our Academic Quality and Enhancement Committee, our Governing Council, through our Planning and Resources Committee (P&RC) and with our student representatives on Student Council through our CSU. From this, the APP team aim to influence and inform institutional practices to develop new activities, invest further financial resources to effective existing activities and to influence our overarching evaluation strategy. For example, evaluation of impact of our mainstream attendance monitoring system led to further expansion of the in-house system to include a dashboard which enables data from many sources to be fed into one place so that staff can monitor the student's level of engagement beyond attendance, such as engagement with our online programme module spaces, eLibrary, etc. This expansion of the institutional practice was led by a Project Team, of which included members of the APP Team. The development aids staff to identify 'at risk' students more quickly and efficiently and to offer bespoke support to students who are struggling with particular aspects of the programme. The University has linked in with JISC on our attendance monitoring and student dashboard since 2016/17, externally sharing our programme design and evaluation of impact results. Any external sharing of evaluation of impact results which aims to contribute to and influence sector practices is conducted at the local activity level.

<u>Reflection from the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool</u>: The APP team will ensure that Activity Leads plan to have a process by which they will share their findings internally and externally where appropriate. This might be through internal or external working groups and networks or databases. We aim to become a contributor to sector 'good practice' knowledge exchange through the Evidence and Impact Exchange.

3.5.6. Financial Support Evaluation

Financial support is evaluated using the OfS financial support toolkit²⁴. The purpose of the evaluation is to ascertain if there were differing outcomes for Bursary students compared to Non Bursary students. We found no significant differences between the performance rates of Bursary and Non Bursary students for success and progression, therefore Bursary students are performing at similar rates to Non Bursary students. Providing financial support to disadvantaged students remains a key element to lessen the financial strain that students from low income household's experience. The tool kit has been useful in disaggregating the data and showing differential performances for groups with certain characteristics. For example; students with BTEC qualifications are significantly less likely to continue, complete their degree or gain a good degree classification. These findings support other internal data showing the same issues. A multi-departmental project investigated prior assessment experience of BTEC entrants and found BTEC students reported differences in assessment styles and marking criteria, writing styles and referencing, and generally not having the skills or knowledge to usefully use their spare time for independent learning. Information and guidance will be produced to enhance staff awareness when working with students with BTEC qualifications. As well as analysis of withdrawal and attainment data, questionnaires to those in receipt of awards and national research also takes place using the OfS Interview Tool⁴⁸. We have a Student Financial Support Working group to which findings from the financial

⁴⁸ www.officeforstudents.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/promoting-equal-opportunities/evaluation-and-effective-practice/financial-support-evaluation-toolkit/interview-tool/

support evaluation (toolkit and interview tool) are reported and whereby decisions on future financial support packages are discussed, developed and supported.

3.6. Monitoring progress against delivery of the plan

Led and coordinated centrally by the APP team, University wide and local level monitoring is undertaken by our access, student success and progression Activity Leads. Annual progress reports are written to a template which structures our monitoring processes and theory of change evaluation. This allows an institutional overview for monitoring our progress and performance, areas of success and weaknesses, and areas for future development to ensure spend is carefully monitored and based on evidence of impact. Quarterly updates and twice yearly progress review meetings, including the reporting of financial commitments, are reported on by each Activity Lead to the APP team to assess whether progress is being made towards the plan and our targets. The updates and progress review meetings help to identify within year any areas which potentially may fail to make progress against the plan and provide an opportunity to influence, develop and improve on our performance. This embedded monitoring process takes place for all our activities targeted at reducing gaps for underrepresented groups at each stage of their lifecycle and has been in place for a considerable number of years.

A summary of our monitoring returns and evaluation reports, including progress against targets and milestones, are produced the APP team and overseen by our newly formed sub-committee which monitors our performance and progress towards the plan. These are received by our Academic Quality and Enhancement Committee (AQEC), which in turn reports to Senate which oversees and influences practice within the organisation. Faculty and student representatives are consulted and asked to contribute to actions to improve our progress through the AQEC committee. Our CSU also engage with the twice yearly progress review meetings through a written summary on our progress against the plan and any new developments, and are provided an opportunity to feedback, through our Student Council, underrepresented student views on further activities that may influence our performance or improve performance.

Our Governing Council engages with the APP monitoring through our Planning and Resources Committee (P&RC) and our Audit and Risk Management Committee (A&RMC) whereby the APP team via the sub-committee submits a summary of our monitoring report on our progress against the plan and targets. APP targets are also part of the University's Risk Register which is monitored at each A&RMC meeting. In addition, APP targets are a new Key Performance Indicator (KPI) in the draft University Corporate Plan for 2020-25. Periodic monitoring of progress on Institutional KPIs is undertaken by University Council and the P&RC which also monitors our progress against delivery of the plan and targets. In the Autumn of 2018 we employed external consultants, 'Applied Inspirations' to review our Access and Participation work. As part of this work they reviewed our monitoring activities and found 'very strong leadership' with respect to monitoring and reporting. Direct responsibility for the monitoring of the plan resides with the APP team who report regularly to the Senior Management Team.

4. Provision of information to students

We provide information on courses and tuition fees (including aggregate cost), our financial support schemes, and other information on student finance and accommodation, through our website, emails, blogs, prospectuses and through our 'material information in a durable medium' communication at the point of offer. All tuition fees are published at individual programme level and the current section on 'Finance', including financial support packages, is regularly updated on our website⁴⁹. Our Access and Participation Plan, promoting our commitment to fair access to higher education and support for students from underrepresented backgrounds throughout their lifecycle, is also published on our 'Widening Participation' webpage for prospective and existing students⁵⁰.

For prospective students, financial support information is provided in the University-wide prospectus, University website, electronic newsletters, printed brochures, and during face-to-face activity and presentations during Open Days, Experience Days and outreach activities, all of which are targeted at prospective students, their families and teachers/advisers in schools and colleges. The provision of material information in the form of a durable medium is

⁴⁹ www1.chester.ac.uk/finance

 $^{^{\}rm 50}\,www1.chester.ac.uk/widening-participation$

part of the offer-making process and this is sent via a link in an email to all offer-holders. Timely communications are sent to applicants to enable prospective students to make informed choices about what and where to study. This information includes the associated tuition fees, how and when to apply for financial support and other support opportunities available to them throughout their lifecycle at the University. All information on the financial support we offer our students also includes the eligibility criteria and level of financial support underrepresented groups may receive each year of their studies. Information about tuition fees and financial support is also provided to UCAS as soon we receive confirmation of permitted tuition fees for the given year.

Existing students will benefit from publications and direct advice provided by our Student Support Team, in particular information relating to the support offered for students declaring a disability, for carers, Care Leavers and those in financial hardship. We also provide information of particular benefit to underrepresented students throughout their lifecycle at the University on, for example, receiving a peer mentor, study skills sessions, Student Union activities and events, bursary support, hardship fund opportunities, and Carers and Employability funding opportunities, which includes eligibility criteria and level of financial support underrepresented groups may receive each year of their studies where applicable.

APPENDIX A

University of Chester Evaluation Framework

Budget

What is (or was) the expected overall budget required to deliver the programme/activity? If there are/was resource implications for either staffing or non-staffing expenditure (including equipment) it should be outlined with information on what the budget will be/was spent on. Staff time, resources and training for knowledge/skills for evaluation should form part of the resource allocation for the evaluation of impact.

Description of Programme/Activity

Provide a brief description of the programme/activity. Include the processes behind the programme/activity in order to capture what will happen at each stage to influence the intended outcome.

Provide the process in a clear and concise manner,

e.g. outcomes chain.

External or internal intelligence supporting the programme/activity.

Is the programme/activity informed by internal or external evidence? e.g. evidence can be external research, publications, national data, feedback from students, own internal data, previous impact

Timeline: Outline the timeline for monitoring, data collection, evaluation of impact and sharing of results. e.g. at what point in programme/ activity will you collect qualitative/quantitative data? When will you conduct analysis of the data? When will you evaluate the impact of the programme/activity? When do you plan on sharing results/the impact of evaluation?

Lifecycle and Target <u>Groups</u>	Short/ Medium Term Outcomes	Long Term Outcome	Data Collection Tools	Survey Testing	Evaluation What type of evaluation of	Risk Management	Sharing of Results
Which lifecycle does this programme/ activity support? e.g. Access, Student Success or Progression or multiple stages? Who are the target groups? e.g. LPN, Mature students, BAME, disabled, care leavers or multiple?	What is the intended intermediate measurable outcomes required to achieve the long term outcome? What changes in the target group do you expect to see? What are the specific changes to the target groups you will measure?	What is the overall broad need for the change you are trying to address? Outline the ultimate goal/ outcome of the programme/activity. Does it support any institutional targets/long term goals? What is the intended	What data are you collecting? e.g. qualitative, quantitative or both? Who will collect the data? How will the date be collected? What are the sources of the data?	If using questionnaires, surveys or tests, are you using internal devised tools or sector/research validated tools or a mix of both? Have you tested the validity of internal tools? e.g. focus groups, factor analysis of responses?	What type of evaluation of impact have you chosen? E.g. Narrative (coherent, research based evidence), Empirical (pre/post intervention change or difference compared to no intervention) or Causality (pre/post change relative to control or comparison to control group)? What was the intermediate and long term outcome following analysis of results? Does it support the	Have there been or do you anticipate any problems in delivering the programme/activity according to the plan? What were these problems? Are they resolved? How will/did the problems effect the validity of the results/ impact analysis?	How will you use your results? What is the internal procedures for reporting of impact? How do you intend to share the evaluation of impact results external? e.g. networks, other institutions, conferences, abstracts, publications, etc.
What are the internal output targets? e.g. number of participants.	What are the internal targets for the intermediate outcomes?	internal long term measurable target?	needed for the data collection and analysis of results? e.g. specialist knowledge/skills, data analysis tools, etc.	↑	institutional and programme/ activity targets? Will there be any changes to the programme/activity or the evaluation design following the impact results?	Did or does the programme/activity need additional help/resource to resolve the problem?	Can the evaluation of impact results add to sector practice and are there mechanisms to share the impact results with the sector?
	Programme/Activity Design				Evaluation Design and Implementatio	n	



APPENDIX B Raising Attainment Outcomes Chain for University of Chester

Input	Output		Outcome	Outcome	Long Term Outcome
Direct Approaches GCSE masterclass, revision session and/or resit sessions	Increased number of GCSE pupils, particularly those from underrepresented groups, participating in the sessions	Numbers of schools Numbers of sessions Numbers of sessions Numbers of subdents involved Variety of sessions End of activity survey. Student perceptions Evaluation-	Improved pupil understanding of the subject area	Improved KS4 progression and/or improved GCSE attainment	Increased likelihood of entering HE
Indirect/Blended Approaches Collaborative lesson planning and observation; curriculum review, assessment and marking moderation/support; coaching and professional development of teachers	Increased number of schools and teachers, particularly with high proportions of underrepresented groups, engaging and participating in collaborative projects, coaching and professional development activities.	Evaluation Numbers of schools Numbers of schools Variety of assions Mild-activity and end of activity survey. Teacher perceptions and satisfaction Teacher perceptions of professional learning	Improved and improving classroom practice of teachers, curriculum content, professional development skills gained	Improved KS4 progression and/or improved GCSE attainment	Increased likelihood of entering HE



APPENDIX C

Financial Support Packages at University of Chester

University of Chester's current bursary packages for 2020/21 are as follows⁵¹:

- Scheme 1 Chester Bursary An award to the value of £1,500 in cash⁵² will be given to any eligible new fulltime undergraduate degree entrant paying a tuition fee of over £6,000, who has a declared household residual income of £25,000 or less. This will comprise of £500 cash in each year of the programme.
- Scheme 2 Chester Bursary for Part-time students This bursary has a total value of £1,500 in cash which will be applied on a pro-rata basis based on the credit intensity at each level of study. Eligibility will be based on new part-time undergraduate degree entrants paying a tuition fee of at least £7000 (full-time equivalent), enrolled for a minimum of 40 credits at the start of level 4, and with a declared household residual income of £25,000 or less. Exclusions to eligibility apply and full details are posted on the University's website.
- Scheme 3 Care Leavers Bursary We provide a Care Leavers Bursary Scheme, which will be available to verified Care Leavers on a first come, first served basis⁵³. This opportunity is available to all new eligible undergraduate students starting their programme of study in 2019/20 (including students at level 3). The scheme will normally provide Care Leavers with £1000 cash⁵¹, £1000 fee waiver and 50% discounted on University owed accommodation each year for the three years of their programme (or four years if the award is made from the Foundation Year of the programme).
- Scheme 4 Young Adult Carers Bursary In partnership with organisations such as Cheshire Young Carers (CYC) we have developed a targeted financial support package for young carers. This will be in the form of a bursary package of £1500 per year, totalling £4,500 over three years of study (or up to a maximum of £6000 if the award is made from the Foundation Year of the programme). This bursary is available in addition to any other bursary the student is eligible for as we recognise the additional financial pressures that young carers face.
- Scheme 5 Estranged Student Bursary We provide a support package for estranged students, which will be available to estranged students identified and verified by the SLC on a first come, first served basis⁵⁴. Students may only apply for the bursary in their first year of study and will not be eligible for any other bursary. The scheme will normally provide estranged students with £1000 cash⁵¹ and 50% discounted on University owed accommodation each year for the three years of their programme (or four years if the award is made from the Foundation Year of the programme).
- Foundation Year All students (home and EU) on our extended degree programmes including a level 3 foundation year, will receive a £500 cash in the first, foundation year of study.
- **Continuing students** Entrants prior to 2020/21 will continue to receive their entitlements as set out in our previous years' Access Agreements and Access and Participation Plans.

All applicants who receive offers to undergraduate degree programmes at the University will be sent full details of their appropriate financial support packages. Bursary payments are automatically made at 3 points within the year and triggered when students enrol/complete registration and are confirmed as attending the University. The 'in kind' element (1st payment point) will be used to reinforce student retention and success activities through offering students credit to put towards:

• Sports and gym memberships to enhance wellbeing, boost health and self-esteem, and an opportunity to meet like-minded people;

⁵³ Verified Care Leaver are those who have provided the appropriate Care Leaver evidence and must have spent at least 3 months' in Local Authority care before the age of 18. The University works hard to identify all Care Leavers.

⁵¹ All bursaries are subject to change following evaluation.

⁵² Where bursaries are stated to contain a cash element, this is likely to be made up of a cash award in the most part with an element of 'in kind' benefit (Aspire Credit).

⁵⁴ www.sfengland.slc.co.uk/estrangement.aspx



- University's link with John Smiths bookshop to help alleviate the cost of materials and books needed to successfully complete their course;
- Printing credits to help alleviate printing costs throughout students time at University.

The additional cash payments (2nd and 3rd payment points) will help alleviate all the additional costs students face throughout their time at University, such as paying rent, utility bills, travel expenses, which may impact on students ability to successfully complete their course.

In addition, we provide a Financial Assistance Fund (FAF) if students get into financial difficulties while completing their course. These awards are given to students as cash and are not repayable.



APPENDIX D

Student Consultation and Involvement

Chester Students' Union (CSU) representatives have engaged with and will continue to be involved in the planning and delivery of funded activity and have participated in discussions over the content of the University's Access and Participation Plan. We have been consulted over the planned form of intended financial support and benefits, and have given feedback regarding the preferred options for how the 'in kind' element (Aspire Credit) of the financial support packages can best support and enhance the student experience.

Our Officers at CSU are democratically elected by the student body to represent them and undergo various NUS training events, such as 'Lead and Change' and 'NUS Zones Conference', as well as both internal and external training sessions. Our Officers have involvement with and representation on performance improvement groups, such as Attendance Monitoring User Group and Engagement Analytics Steering Group and we take an active role in initiatives to promote student engagement and success at the University.

Monitoring and evaluation reports, including progress against targets and milestones, are received by the University's Academic Quality and Enhancement Committee on which CSU representatives sit, thus allowing the opportunity to express student views about the progress against the plan and any areas for future development. CSU representatives will also be part of the APP sub-committee from 2019/20 in which the student voice will play a key role in the strategic overview of the evaluation of the access and participation activities. CSU are also involved in the monitoring activities that take place and can influence and advise on appropriate approaches for activities targeting access, student success and progression for underrepresented groups.

CSU Student Council members include students from underrepresented groups, such as BME, LGBT+, mature students, disabled students, etc. who are elected by the student body at the University. A minimum of twice yearly at the Student Council meetings there is a fixed agenda item on the activities targeting access, student success and progression for underrepresented groups. This provides an opportunity for Student Council members to feedback, on a regular basis and in a timely manner, the underrepresented student's voice on the assessment of performance, further activities that may improve performance, evaluation of impact from the activities, and to be part of the development of the new plan. CSU also delivers the Student Experience Representation (SERs) system allowing for consultation and engagement from students on all programmes at all levels to be heard and influence institutional practices. SERs are elected by the student body on their programmes to act as a link between students and academic staff. SERs undergo training for this role and attend termly Staff Student Liaison Meetings where they are able to provide the student voice on academic issues specific to their programme. This acts as a direct channel for the student voice to impact on department and institutional practices to improve the student experience.

Mental health is at the forefront of the CSU agenda, and we actively promote the general welfare and mental wellbeing of all of our students. Many of our representatives that work in direct contact with students, such as sports and societies committee members, have undertaken Mental Health First Aid training and we aim for all our student representatives to undergo this training over the coming years. CSU are pleased with how the University has invested resources into many mental health support projects for our students during their time at the University, such as the development of the well-being and support section on the University App which acts as a quick reference point for students, signposting them to relevant support, providing proactive activities and suggestions to relieve symptoms, and formal routes for medical attention, all of which is accessible 24/7.

We can confirm that we were involved in the review of the assessment of performance, the yearly monitoring return and evaluation of impact, and the development and formation of the Access and Participation Plan for 2020/21. As

with last year, all future consultations will involve the consideration of how the Students' Union can help the University to meet the objectives and targets around recruitment, retention, attainment and progression. CSU will continue to work in collaboration with the University on the development of new incentives and activities with the aim to take a direct approach with the implementation of activities that work towards reducing performance gaps for underrepresented groups.



CSU President and VP Education



Access and participation plan Fee information 2020-21

Provider name: University of Chester

Provider UKPRN: 10007848

Summary of 2020-21 entrant course fees

*course type not listed

Inflationary statement:

Subject to the maximum fee limits set out in Regulations we intend to increase fees each year using the RPI-X

Table 4a - Full-time course fee levels for 2020-21 entrants

Full-time course type:	Additional information:	Course fee:
First degree		£9,250
Foundation degree	*	*
Foundation year/Year 0		£9,250
HNC/HND	*	*
CertHE/DipHE	*	*
Postgraduate ITT		£9,250
Accelerated degree	*	*
Sandwich year		£1,385
Erasmus and overseas study years	*	*
Other	*	*
Table 4b - Sub-contractual full-time course fee levels for 202	0-21 entrants	· · · ·
Sub-contractual full-time course type:	Additional information:	Course fee:
First degree	Hammond School Limited (The) 10002869	£9,250
Foundation degree	The Light Project 10032260	£6,750
Foundation year/Year 0	*	*
HNC/HND	*	*
CertHE/DipHE	*	*
Postgraduate ITT	*	*
Accelerated degree	*	*
-		

*

*

Sandwich year Erasmus and overseas study years * Other * Ac Dart time cou urse fee lovels for 2020 21

Part-time course type:	Additional information:	Course fee:
First degree		£6,935
First degree	Work Based and Integrative Studies	£6,935
First degree	Work Based and Integrative Studies co-delivered	f6,180 ع
Foundation degree		£6,935
Foundation degree	Work Based and Integrative Studies	£6,935
Foundation year/Year 0	*	*
HNC/HND	*	*
CertHE/DipHE	*	*
Postgraduate ITT	*	*
Accelerated degree	*	*
Sandwich year	*	*
Erasmus and overseas study years	*	*
Other	*	*
Table 4d - Sub-contractual part-time course fee levels for 202	0-21 entrants	
Sub-contractual part-time course type:	Additional information:	Course fee:
First degree	*	*
Foundation degree	The Light Project 10032260	£6,750
Foundation year/Year 0	*	*
HNC/HND	*	*
CertHE/DipHE	*	*
Postgraduate ITT	*	*
Accelerated degree	*	*
Sandwich year	*	*
Erasmus and overseas study years	*	*
Other	*	*

Office for Ofs Students

Targets and investment plan 2020-21 to 2024-25

Provider name: University of Chester

Provider UKPRN: 10007848

Investment summary

The OfS requires providers to report on their planned investment in access, financial support and research and evaluation in their access and participation plan. The OfS does not require providers to report on investment in student success and progression in the access and participation plans and therefore investment in these areas is not recorded here.

Note about the data:

The investment forecasts below in access, financial support and research and evaluation does not represent not the total amount spent by providers in these areas. It is the additional amount that providers have committed following the introduction of variable fees in 2006-07. The OfS does not require providers to report on investment in success and progression and therefore investment in these areas is not represented.

The figures below are not comparable to previous access and participation plans or access agreements as data published in previous years does not reflect latest provider projections on student numbers.

Table 4a - Investment summary (£)

Access and participation plan investment summary (£)	Academic year									
	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25					
Total access activity investment (£)	£732,884.00	£732,884.00	£732,884.00	£732,884.00	£732,884.00					
Access (pre-16)	£267,154.00	£267,154.00	£267,154.00	£267,154.00	£267,154.00					
Access (post-16)	£284,165.00	£284,165.00	£284,165.00	£284,165.00	£284,165.00					
Access (adults and the community)	£145,535.00	£145,535.00	£145,535.00	£145,535.00	£145,535.00					
Access (other)	£36,030.00	£36,030.00	£36,030.00	£36,030.00	£36,030.00					
Financial support (£)	£1,579,823.00	£1,497,843.00	£1,492,006.00	£1,492,006.00	£1,492,006.00					
Research and evaluation (£)	£230,224.00	£255,224.00	£255,224.00	£255,224.00	£255,224.00					

Table 4b - Investment summary (HFI%)

Academic year										
2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25						
£21,270,210.00	£20,094,825.00	£20,008,445.00	£20,008,445.00	£20,008,445.00						
2.0%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%						
7.4%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%						
0.9%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%						
10.4%	10.7%	10.8%	10.8%	10.8%						
	£21,270,210.00 2.0% 7.4% 0.9%	£21,270,210.00 £20,094,825.00 2.0% 2.2% 7.4% 7.5% 0.9% 1.1%	2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 £21,270,210.00 £20,094,825.00 £20,008,445.00 2.0% 2.2% 2.2% 7.4% 7.5% 7.5% 0.9% 1.1% 1.1%	2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24 £21,270,210.00 £20,094,825.00 £20,008,445.00 £20,008,445.00 2.0% 2.2% 2.2% 2.2% 7.4% 7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 0.9% 1.1% 1.1% 1.1%						

Targets and investment plan 2020-21 to 2024-25

Targets

Table 2a - Access													
Aim (500 characters maximum)	Reference	Target group	Description (500 characters maximum)	Is this target	Data source	Baseline year	Baseline data	Yearly milestones					Commentary on milestones/targets (500 characters maximum)
	number			collaborative?				2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	
To increase the entrant proportions of students from underpresented groups		White economically disadvantaged males	Increase in the proportion of young (18/19) white male students from low participation neighbourhoods (POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2)	No	Other data source	2018-19	7%	7.3%	7.5%	8.0%	8.5%	9.0%	Internal data - UK domicled full-time undergraduate and Nursing entrants who are young (18/19) males and from POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2. Data includes students who left early but excludes readmitted students. Evidence of impact will be measured through HEAT. Our raising attainment, sustain outreach, NCOP Hub work and targeted STEM outreach activity (young white disadvantaged males) will assist in achieving this target.
	PTA_2												
	PTA_3												
	PTA_4												
	PTA_5												
	PTA_6												
	PTA_7												
	PTA_8												

Aim (500 characters maximum)	Reference	Target group	Description	Is this target	Data source	Baseline year	Baseline year Baseline data Ye		Baseline data	Yearly miles	'early milestones				Commentary on milestones/targets (500 characters maximum)
number			collaborative?				2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25				
To reduce the continuation gap for students from underrepresented groups	PTS_1	Disabled	Percentage difference in continuation rates between non- disabled and disabled students	No	The access and participation dataset	2016-17	5.7%	5.5%	5.3%	5.0%	4.0%	3.0%	The gap is not significant but it is increasing and we have increasing proportions of entrants with declared disabilities (full-time students).		
To reduce the attainment gap for students from underrepresented groups	PTS_2	Ethnicity	Percentage difference in degree attainment (1st and 2:1) between white and black students	No	The access and participation dataset	2017-18	28.7%	25.0%	21.0%	18.0%	14.0%	10.0%	This is a significant issue for the University, therefore we have set a reaching and ambitious target for 2024-25 and aim to address the national key performance measures by eliminating all gaps by 2030-31 (full-time students).		
To reduce the attainment gap for students from underrepresented groups	PTS_3	Ethnicity	Percentage difference in degree attainment (1st and 2:1) between white and BAME students	No	The access and participation dataset	2017-18	10.7%	10.0%	9.0%	7.5%	6.0%	4.0%	This is a significant issue for the University, therefore we have set a reaching and ambitious target for 2024-25 and aim to address the national key performance measures by eliminating all gaps by 2030-31 (full-time students).		
To reduce the attainment gap for students from underrepresented groups	PTS_4	Multiple	Percentage difference in degree attainment (1st and 2:1) between female and male students from low participation neighbourhoods (POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2)	No	The access and participation dataset	2017-18	7%	6.0%	5.5%	5.0%	4.5%	4.0%	We have a significant attainment performance gap between female and male students. Further analysis showed the widest gaps are between females and males from POLAR4 quintile 1 and 2.		
To reduce the attainment gap for students from underrepresented groups	PTS_5	Low Participation Neighbourhood (LPN)	Percentage difference in degree attainment (1st and 2:1) between students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1	No	Other data source	2017-18	4%	3.8%	3.3%	3.0%	2.5%	2.0%	Internal data - UK domiciled full-time and part-time first degree undergraduate and Nursing students. We have a significant gap attainment gap between IMD quintile 5 and 1. The use of POLAR is embedded within our institution and data management systems. There an overlap between POLAR4 quintile 1 and IMD quintile 1 students. A target to reduce the POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1 attainment gap will also work towards reducing the IMD quintile 5 and 1 attainment gap.		
	PTS_6														
	PTS_7														
	PTS_8														

Table 2c - Progression

Aim (500 characters maximum)	Reference	Target group	Description	Is this target	Data source	Baseline year	Baseline data	Yearly milestones					Commentary on milestones/targets (500 characters maximum)
	number			collaborative?				2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	1
To reduce the progression gap for students from underrepresented groups	PTP_1	Multiple	Percentage difference in highly skilled employment or study between young (under 21) students from POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1	No	Other data source	2016-17	8.2%	7.5%	7.0%	6.5%	5.5%	4.5%	Internal data - UK domiciled full-time first degree undergraduate and Nursing students who are young (under 21) from POLAR4 quintile 1 and 5. Our assessment of performance showed a gap between POLAR4 quintile 5 and 1. Further analysis showed the gap to be at its widest for young (under 21) students.
	PTP_2												
	PTP_3												
	PTP_4												
	PTP_5												
	PTP_6												
	PTP_7												
	PTP_8												