



Audience (direct beneficiaries of the action plan)		Number of	Comment
(Research staff		
	Postgraduate researchers	-	
	Technicians		
	Other (please provide numbers and details):		
		•	*
	To be complet	ed only when reporting on action	
The targeted impact of the action			The actual impact of the action (reporting

Constructions and plants and property or an extraction would not straightful and plants and an extraction of the construction		Complete for submission								To be completed only when reporting on action p		
The contract of the contract o		Obligation	Action	Carried over from previous action plan?	Deadline	Responsibility	The targeted <u>impact</u> of the action (success measure)	Comments (optional)	Progress update			
Expansion for the transfer or the control of the co	Environ	nment and Culture										
See the control of th												
Security and an extended and security of the company of the compan	The aims	s of these obligations are to work towards an open and inclusive	e research culture, and to ensure broad understanding and awareness of this amo	ngst researcher	S.							
Security of the control contro	ECI1	Ensure all relevant staff are aware of the Concordat.	routinely issue a welcome email to new starters which includes the Concordat									
Procedure of the following of the foll	ECI2	researchers are inclusive, equitable and transparent, and	No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice									
Experimental baselines of a support of any ordinary of any ordinary of a support of any ordinary of a support of any ordinary of a support of any ordinary o	ECI6	environment and culture, including seeking feedback from researchers, and using the outcomes to improve institutional	themes' for the focus groups to enable more focussed discussion and feedback 2) Continue to run CEDARS 3) Introduction of a Mock REF exercise 4) Establishment of a Research Management Group to oversee the Mock REF	Yes No	Sep-23	B RIO	environment/group; ii) Provide feedback to participants of focus group (and make available via Portal) after each meeting					
Security of the control of the contr	ECR1	development and maintenance of a supportive, fair and inclusive research culture and be a supportive colleague,	to actively engage with RKEIs for networking and to facilitate interdisciplinary	No	May-26		ii) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = > 50% feel that the contribution they make to developing researchers is mostly/fully valued;					
Security of the control of the contr	Wellbein	ng and mental health		1	1	1	<u></u>					
Promotion good model involution and waterbody through the selection for temperature of good model involution and waterbody (included and waterbody through the selection for temperature) of good model involution and waterbody (included and waterbody of the selection for temperature) of good model involution and waterbody (included and waterbody of the selection for temperature) of good model involution and waterbody (included and waterbody of temperature) and selection for temperature of good model involution and waterbody (included and waterbody of temperature) and selection for temperature of good model involution and waterbody (included and waterbody of temperature) anature of temperature (included and waterbody of temperature) and			ast researchers, both through appropriate training and enabling new ways of working	na.								
Section production from the mile and evalence (month). The performance & Geoegeneter Paramage production and approach of the section of production of the performance			,	.9.								
Enter a managers of researchers are all excitorly tained in control or an excitorate control or any insure specifing to control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting to health and wellbeing control or any insure statisting control or any insurant contro	ECI3		updated and addresssed through the Performance & Development Planning	No	Sep-25	HR, RIO	mental health and wellbeing of staff					
May 26 Ensure managers promote a healthy working environment that support researchers were witning and mental health and other appropriate arrangements to support researchers. May 26 Ensure researchers take positive action towards maintaining 20 Communication and other appropriate arrangements to support researchers. This improvement is a support of the searchers take positive action towards maintaining 20 Communication and the searchers to enable and support researchers to mable and support researchers and managers to mechanisms to reporting process of communication, bubling and harders and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to those in good researchers and managers to mechanisms to report to the process. As a fina	ECI4	Ensure managers of researchers are effectively trained in relation to wellbeing and mental health.	training provision/resources which includes: E&D Moodle Module; various courses and workbooks relating to H&WB e.g. MHFA course; Supporting the Mental Health and Emotional Wellbeing of Employees; Manager Guides e.g. Carers in Employment; Menopause in the Workplace; How to Support a Bereaved Member of Staff; and How to Support a Member of Staff with Cancer. 2) Managers of researchers in funded projects alterted to their responsibilities in		May-25	HR, RIO	to respond to any issues relating to health and wellbeing ii) >85% have completed their E,D & I training iii) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure= >80% agree/strongly agree that the UoC actively promotes the importance of good mental health and wellbeing of staff					
May 26 HR, ADRIS Ensure researchers take positive action towards maintaining and annual health. Training managers of researchers to enable and support researchers to enable a	ECM3	Ensure managers promote a healthy working environment that supports researchers' wellbeing and mental health.	No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice									
Ensure researchers take positive action towards maintaining 2 Communicate via the ADRIs the resources, support and training available she in well-being and mental health. Promote a healthy working environment through effective proteins of proteins of proteins of proteins of proteins of observable support for whiching providing appropriate support for abding specifical support for substraints. Promote a healthy working environment through effective proteins and practice for tracking discrimination, bullying appropriate support for abding specifical support for substraints. Promote a healthy working environment through effective proteins and practice for tracking discrimination, bullying appropriate support for abding specifical support for substraints. Promote a healthy working environment through effective proteins and practice for tracking discrimination, bullying appropriate support for abding specifical support for substraints. Promote a healthy working environment through effective proteins are proteins and assessment, bullying appropriate support for abding specifical support for substraints. Promote a healthy working environment through effective positive action to required - this is now embedded within routine practice. Promote a healthy working environment through effective positive action to tracking the proteins and addressing incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment and bullying and harassment environment through effective positive action to take positive action to maintain their mental health and wellbeing and harassment and bullying and harassment and bullying and harassment and bullying. Promote a healthy working environment through effective positive action to elements to address incidents. Promote a healthy working environment through effective positive action to elements to address incidents. Promote a healthy working environment through effective positive action to elements to address incidents. Promote a healthy working environment through effectiv	ECM4											
Promote a healthy working environment through effective policies and practice for tracking discrimination, bullying and harassment, including providing appropriate support for those reporting issues. No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 1) Continue to signpost researchers and managers to mechanisms for reporting incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. 1) Continue to signpost researchers and managers to mechanisms for reporting incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. 2) Ensure managers encourage reporting and addressing incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. 2) Ensure researchers use available mechanisms to report state the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, bullying or harassment and within routine practice 2) For the searchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, bullying or harassment and within routine practice 3) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 5) For the searchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, hullying and harassment and bullying. 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice. 5) For the searchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, hullying and harassment and bullying.	ECR3	Ensure researchers take positive action towards maintaining their wellbeing and mental health.	access support 2) Communicate via the ADRIs the resources, support and training available	No	May-26							
Promote a healthy working environment through effective policies and practice for tracking discrimination, bullying and harassment, including providing appropriate support for those reporting issues. No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 1) Continue to signpost researchers and managers to mechanisms for reporting incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. 1) Continue to signpost researchers and managers to mechanisms for reporting incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. 2) Ensure managers encourage reporting and addressing incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. 2) Ensure researchers use available mechanisms to report state the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, bullying or harassment and within routine practice 2) For the searchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, bullying or harassment and within routine practice 3) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 5) For the searchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, hullying and harassment and bullying. 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice 4) No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice. 5) For the searchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behavior in relation to discrimination, hullying and harassment and bullying.	Bullying	and harassment		-								
Promote a healthy working environment through effective policies and practice for tackling discrimination, bullying and harassment, including providing appropriate support for the policies and practice for tackling discrimination, bullying and harassment. Including providing appropriate support for the policies and practice of tackling discrimination, bullying and harassment are generally assembled. In Continue to signpost researchers and managers to mechanisms for reporting bullying or harassment e.g. through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, discrimination, bullying and harassment. Ensure researchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and the staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and the staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and the staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and the staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and bullying.			in the research system, tackled through progressive policies and secure mechanis	ms to address i	ncidents.							
Promote a healthy working environment through effective policies and practice for tecking discrimination, bullying and harassment, including providing appropriate support for those reporting issues. 1) Continue to signpost researchers and managers to mechanisms for reporting bullying or harassment e.g. through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment bullying or harassment e.g. through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment bullying or harassment e.g. through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment through Researcher Hub. RKEIs, PDP process, good agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment and bullying or harassment												
In Surve managers encourage reporting and addressing incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment. e.g. through Researcher Hub, RKEIs, PDP process, department meetings department meetings bullying or harassment e.g. through Researcher Hub, RKEIs, PDP process, department meetings and addressing department meetings and the staff who fall to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and bullying.	ECI3	policies and practice for tackling discrimination, bullying and harassment, including providing appropriate support for	· ·									
484 staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and bullying.	ЕСМ3	Ensure managers encourage reporting and addressing incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment.	bullying or harassment e.g. through Researcher Hub, RKEIs, PDP process,	Yes	May-26	HR, ADRIs	CEDARS 2025 >80% agree/agree strongly that they are familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report bullying or harassment					
allty, diversity and inclusion Récipe sien y 2009	ECR4	staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and bullying.										
	Equality,	, diversity and inclusion										





The aims	s of these obligations are to ensure managers and researchers a	re trained in-, aware of- and adopt practices enhancing equality, diversity and inc	usion.									
ECI4 / ECM1	inclusion, and put this into practice in their work.	See ECI4 under 'Mental Health and Weilbeing' 1) to driving to monitor and manage the completion of mandatory training for managers of resolutes eventy of months (EDI included in this) 2) evaluate transfer of learning for specific EDI modules to understand how they have applied their learning/knowledge into their work using the 6 monthly follow-up evaluation process	Yes	Sep-25	HR	i) 2025 CEDARS Survey: success measure = responses - > 80% with management responsibility say they are fully confident to be able to respond to any issues relating to health and wellbeing or bulying and harassment; = > 80% agree/agree strongly that their working environment supports their mental health and wellbeing or bulying and harassment; = > 80% agree/agree strongly that their working environment supports their mental health and wellbeing ii) success measure = > 80% have completed their E,D & I training iii) Success measure = > 80% of managers of researchers to have completed both the E&D Moodle module and Supporting the Mental Health and Emotional Wellbeing of Employees Course/Workbook; 2) > 50% of managers have been able to transfer their learning to their work.						
ECR2	Ensure researchers act in accordance with employer and funder policies related to equality, diversity and inclusion.	No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice										
	search Integrity e aims of these obligations are to ensure managers and researchers are trained in-, aware of- and maintain high standards of research integrity, and are able to report infringements or misconduct.											
The aims	s of these obligations are to ensure managers and researchers a	re trained in-, aware of- and maintain high standards of research integrity, and an	e able to report in	fringements	s or misconduct.							
ECI5 / ECM2	Ensure researchers and their managers are aware of, and act in accordance with, the highest standards of research integrity and professional conduct.	1) Annually promote and encourage Research staff to complete the Research Integrity online training and discuss management of integrity 2) Monitor completion of the course with an emphasis on mandatory requirements (PGR Supervisors, Managers of Researchers, all staff actively engaged in research) 3) ensure research staff can easily access mechanisms for reporting incidents of misconduct in relation to research integrity on the Research Hub. Include reference to this in the induction/orientation processes and issue reminders at the start of the academic year.	Yes No Yes	May-24		i) success measure = >80% of those who manage research staff have completed the Research Integrity training; success measure = > 50% of non-managerial research staff have completed the Research Integrity training; ii) success measure = >80% agree/agree strongly that they have never felt pressured into compromising their research standards or integrity iii) 2025 CEDARS success measure = >60% agree/agree strongly that they were familiar with the institution's mechanisms to report incidents of misconduct in relation to research integrity						
ECM3	Ensure managers report and address incidents of poor research integrity.	promote research integrity and research integrity training in Staff Forum	Yes	May-24	RIO	i) 2025 CEDARS Survey success measure = >80% agree/agree strongly that the institution promotes the highest standards of research integrity and conduct ii) Monitor incidents of reporting and associated increase linked to greater awareness of recognising poor research integrity iii) >60% of focus group participants reporting an understanding of poor research integrity						
ECR2	Ensure researchers act in accordance with employer and funder policies related to research integrity.	In addition to ECI5/ECM2 above: 1) Support staff to understand funder requirements relating to research integrity through the post award project launch meetings led by the RIO Grants Officers	No	May-24	RKED, RKEI Directors, ADRIs, RIO	i) feedback from participants in the post award project launch meetings to show a clear understanding of funder requirements						
ECR4	Ensure researchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to research misconduct.	See Action for ECM3 above- will also apply to researchers										
	levelopment	contribute to the development of policies driving positive change at their institution	in.									
THE airie	s of these obligations are to encourage all researchers to active				I							
EI7		1) Engagement of researcher staff with more formslised networks for all research active staff (including managers) to undertake meaningful discussion as key stakeholders, networking and in facilitating interdisciplinary research. 2) Increased researcher representation on key committees including RKE	No No	May-25	RKED, ADRIS	ii) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = >80% agree/agree strongly that there are opportunities to participate in decision-making processes (e.g. committees) iii) success measure = >60% agree/agree strongly that their contribution to institutional policy and decision-making is valued iii) all research committees to include researcher representation iv) participation in themed researcher focus groups with networking opportunities						
ECM5	Encourage managers to engage with opportunities to contribute to policy development aimed at creating a more positive research environment and culture within their institution.	1) Work with the newly appointed Associate Deans of Research & Innovation to develop and promote opportunities that lead to policy development and engagement in activity to create a more positive research environment 2) ADRI and RKEI updates to include promoted opportunities and levels of engagement	No No	Sep-25	RKED, ADRIS	i) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = >60% of managers mostly/fully feel valued for their contribution to institutional policy and decision-making; success measure = >60% had participated in institution policy and decision-making.						
EM5	Engage with opportunities to contribute to relevant policy development within their institution.	See E17 & ECM5 above										
ECR5	Encourage researchers to consider opportunities to contribute to policy development aimed at creating a more positive research environment and culture within their institution.	Linked to ECM5 above, encouraging researchers to actively engage through the RKEIs, also: 1) Create and launch of a calendar of events to promote opportunities including training	No	May-26	RKED, ADRIs, RKEI Directors	See ECM5 above i) >30% of researchers attending events held within the calendar. ii) positive feedback from focus groups and pulse surveys on the usefulness of the calendar						
ER4	Recognise and act on their role as key stakeholders within their institution and the wider academic community.											
Employ					<u> </u>							
	ment and induction											
i ne aims		open and fair and researchers receive effective inductions into the organisation.										
El1	Ensure open, transparent and merit-based recruitment, which attracts excellent researchers, using fair and inclusive selection and appointment practices.	No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice										





						-	HR EXCELLENCE IN RESEARCH
		Include a recorded session covering research strategy, policies and practices and where to access resources/information on the Portal Researcher Hub as part of the virtual Welcome events which are facilitated 3 times per year.	Yes	May-26 RKED, RIO, HR) > 60% of newly appointed research staff engage with the Welcome Event; ii) > 60% of Welcome Event participants engage with the evaluation process to obtain qualitative and quantitative data immediately		
	Provide an effective induction, ensuring that researchers	Signpost researchers to the Researcher Hub via the online orientation process; Initiate face to face welcome/induction meetings on the work and support RIO	Yes		following the Welcome event to continuously improve the Welcome event; iii) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = >80% find the departmental/ faculty/unit level induction useful/very useful; success		
EI2	are integrated into the community and are aware of policies and practices relevant to their position.	can offer	No		measure = >80% find the induction process local to their current role useful/very useful.		
					iv) > 60% of focus group participants confirm the induction processes are useful		
					v) majority of researcher engaged within the new RIO welcome/induction meetings		
	tion, reward and promotion of these obligations are to ensure the fair and inclusive recogn	nition of researchers as part of their career progression.					
		T	T	I I			
E13	Provide clear and transparent merit-based recognition, reward and promotion pathways that recognise the full range of researchers' contributions and the diversity of personal circumstances.	1) Work with SET and HR to explore the development of hybridrbespoke researcher contracts and potential to remove the career progression limitation currently experienced by researchers on professional services contracts. Consultation with researchers e.g., through focus groups to gain their feedback and input to this discussion. 2] Review REF Code of Practice in the light of FRAP. 3] Reviet the Managing your Career Guidance to facilitate career conversations between researchers and their managers.	No No Yes	May-25 HR, RKED, PVC R&I	i) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = >50% agree/agree strongly that the institution treats them fairly with respect to opportunities for promotion and progression; success measure = >50% agree/agree strongly that promotional pathways and processes are clear to them; success measure = >50% agree/agree strongly that promotional pathways and processes are clear to them; success measure = >550% agree/agree strongly that promotions at the university are made on merit; success measure = >65% agree/agree strongly that promotions at the university are made on merit; success measure = >65% agree/agree strongly that promotions at the university are made on merit; success measure = >65% agree/agree strongly that they are appropriately recognised for their contributions to the university. ii) improved feedback from focus groups following interviews to explore contract issues perceived by researchers iii) increased engagement of managers and researchers in the exit interview process in order to understand reasons for leaving		
EM3	Managers commit to, and evidence, the inclusive, equitable and transparent recruitment, promotion and reward of researchers.	No further action required - this is now embedded within routine practice					
Respons	libilities and reporting						
The aims	of these obligations are to ensure that researchers and their n	managers understand and act on their obligations and responsibilities.					
		signpost managers of research staff to the relevant institutional policies continue to ensure awareness of T&Cs of grant funding via institutional grant	Yes Yes	May-24 HR, RKED, RIO,	i) > 85% of managers and researchers have completed the mandatory training;		
EM2	Managers familiarise themselves, and work in accordance with, relevant employment legislation and codes of practice,	approval process and communicate requirements to other researchers working on the grant; include this within the project launch meeting led by the Grants Officer from RIO.			ii) success measure = >85% of those who manage research staff had completed the Equality and Diversity training; > 80% have completed the Race Equality, Microaggression and Unconscious Bias training		
	institutional policies, and the terms and conditions of grant funding.	Signpost research staff via RIO to support available when applying for funding	Yes		iii) gather data at focus groups and establish how confident managers are that they understand the T&Cs of their grants;		
ER1	Researchers ensure that they work in accordance with, institutional policies, procedures and employment legislation, as well as the requirements of their funder.	See EM2 above as this will also appy to researchers					
		Ensure that through the project launch meetings with RIO Grants Team, managers are clear on funder reporting requirements and that they communicate	Yes	May-25 RIO	i) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = >75% of researchers have discussed reporting obligations and responsibilities with their managers (institutional question)		
ER2	Researchers understand their reporting obligations and responsibilities.	these to the researcher			ii) gather data at focus groups on researcher understanding of reporting obligations and responsibilities		
	nanagement		,				
The aims	of these obligations are to ensure that researchers are well-m		Yes	May-25 HR	Danier of Direction of the Control o		
E14	Provide effective line and project management training opportunities for managers of researchers, heads of department and equivalent.	1) Continue to work on a Leading and Managing Research Staff programme which refers to the university's Redeployment and Redundancy Policy and includes resources for managing and leading in times of uncertainty whether this be structural changes/fixed term contracts	165	way-25 FK	i) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = >85% of managers of research staff are confidentfully confident in terms of: giving guidance to researchers in writing towards their carear appraisions; managing the PDP processes effectively; providing effectively recipied to individual researchers; with acknowledging good performance; responding to any issues relating to health and wellbeing; ii) 2025 CEDARS survey success measure = >85% of managers of research staff have: completed training for Conducting appraisals; completed fraining for Leading a research group; completed training for Managing staff performance; completed Recruitment and selection training; completed Supervising doctoral researchers training; completed Leadership training.		
		Explore contextual factors/logistics of bringing together the workload	No	May-25 RKED, HR	i) Gather feedback from focus groups on the impact of the introluction of a My Contribution Policy		
EI5	Ensure that excellent people management is championed throughout the organisation and embedded in institutional culture, through annual appraisable, transparent promotion criteria, and workload allocation.	management processes and PDP processes operationally and under one policy i.e. My Contribution Policy					
EM1	Managers undertake relevant training and development opportunities so that they can manage researchers effectively and fulfil their duty of care.	See ECI3, ECI4, ECM3, ECM1					
EM4	Managers actively engage in regular constructive performance management with their researchers.	Managers to undertake regular workload planning meetings to enable appropriate workload management and support mental health and well being	Yes No	May-26 HR, ADRIs	i) 2022 CEDARS survey - success measure = > 85% agree/agree strongly that: their manager clearly articulates their expectations of them with respect to their role and performance; their manager provides constructive feedback on their performance; > 85% of those who manage researchers feel confidentfully confident with Managing the apprisal/leview processes effectively, feel confidentfully confident with providing effective feedback to individual researchers; feel confidentfully confident when dealing with poor performance of researchers; feel confidentfully confident when acknowledging good performance. ii) improved feedback from focus groups on workload and managing wellbeing		
ER3	Researchers positively engage with performance management discussions and reviews with their managers.	See EM4 above					
Job secu	•		•	·	· ·		
The aim o	of this obligation is to improve the job security of researchers.						
						·	





:16	Seek to improve job security for researchers, for example	1) Work with SET and HR to explore the development of hybrid/bespoke researcher contracts; 2) signpost existing research staff to upcoming research opportunities within and outwith the institution; 3) consider establishing a centralised pool of research staff that can be deployed across the institution;		May-26	RKED, HR, PVC R&I	i) Resolution to contractual issues - introduction of contractual changes following focus group feedback ii) 2025 CEDARS survey: success measure = > 65% feel confident/fully confident in terms of giving guidance to researchers on institutional redeployment processes		
	ional and Career Development							
	ning professional development							
		onal development and ensure researchers have the time to engage in it.						
CDI1	Provide opportunities, structured support, encouragement and time for researchers to engage in a minimum of 10 days professional development pro rata per year, recognising that	Provision of a comprehensive research training directory including: research integrity, supervisor training and other suite of Epigeum programmes Second training and professional development through the workload management meetings Update the existing staff development policy to reflect the 10 days (pro-rata) requirement	No No	May-25	RKED, RIO, HR	2025 CEDARS survey - success measure = >85% of research staff agree/agree strongly that: they have access to training and development opportunities; they have a clear career development plan; ii) focus group feedback >40% of researchers have undertaken up to 10 days (pro-rata) training and development opportunities		
CDI6	Monitor, and report on, the engagement of researchers and their managers with professional development activities.	 monitor and report on professional development completion for researchers and managers to the SET annually; Report on engagement with Epigeum and Dr App suite of programmes to RKE Committee annually Task RKEIs to monitor and record researcher engagement with professional development activities run through their calendar of events 	Yes No No	May-26	RKED, RIO, HR, RKEI Directors	i) Success measure = >45% have engaged with developmental opportunities referred to in Learning Calendar; ii) 2025 CEDARS survey success measures = > 80% agree/agree strongly that they maintain a formal record of their continuing professional development activities; iii) >50% of researchers engaging in professional development activity organised/promoted through their RKEIs iv) collect feedback from focus groups on the usefulness of available training		
CDM3	Managers allocate a minimum of 10 days pro rata per year, for their researchers to engage with professional development, supporting researchers to balance the delivery of their research and their own professional development.	See PCDH						
CDR1	opportunities to work towards career goals, including engaging in a minimum of 10 days professional development pro rata per year.	signpost research staff via the Researcher Hub to the Managing Your Career Guide, events calendar and training directory	Yes	May-25	RIO, HR	2025 CEDARS survey - success measure = > 65% agree/agree strongly that they have a career development plan flocus group feedback >40% of researchers have undertaken up to 10 days (pro-rata) training and development opportunities		
	evelopment reviews of these obligations are to ensure researchers and their manage							
ne ains	or triese obligations are to ensure researchers and their mana-	gers are engaging in productive career development reviews.						
CDI2	Provide training, structured support, and time for managers to engage in meaningful career development reviews with their researchers.							
CDI6	Monitor, and report on, the engagement of researchers and their managers with researcher career development reviews.							
	Managers engage in regular career development discussions with their researchers, including holding a career development review at least annually.							
CDR4	Researchers positively engage in career development reviews with their managers.							
	evelopment support and planning		lI					
he aims	of these obligations are to promote researchers' career develop	pment planning through tailored support and gathering evidence of professional ex	perience.					
	Ensure that researchers have access to professional advice on career management, across a breadth of careers.	See PCDI1						
	development plan and build a portfolio of evidence demonstrating their experience, that can be used to support job applications.	See PODI						
	h identity and leadership		1.000					
ne aims		y to progress in their careers by developing their research identity and leadership of	apabilities.					
CDI4		1) Increase researcher engagement with RKEIs as a mechanism to enable development of relationships, networking and in facilitating interdisciplinary research to establish research identity 2) Implement new CRIS with researcher profiles 3) Signpost to leadership training	No No No	May-26	RKED, HR, RKEI Directors	1) 2025 CEDARS survey - success measure = > 65% agree/agree strongly that their line manager supports them to develop their research identity; > 25% agree/agree strongly that they have time to develop their research identity; > 25% agree/agree strongly that they have time to develop their leadership skills. ii)>50% of researchers engaging in networking activity through their RKEIs iii) positive feedback from focus groups on engagement with RKEIs iv) number of research staff with profiles on the new CRIS		
	Managers identify opportunities, and allow time (in addition to the 10 days professional development allowance), for their researchers to develop their research identity and broader leadership skills, and provide appropriate credit and recognition for their endeavours.							
CDM5	Managers engage in leadership and management training to enhance their personal effectiveness, and to promote a positive attitude to professional development.							
	careers	rchers for the wide range of career options available to them within and beyond res	nonroh					
ne aims	or mose obligations are to recognise, value and prepare reseal	roners for the wide range or career options available to them within and beyond res	ocaluli.					





						HR EXCELLENCE IN RESEARCH
PCDI5	Recognise that moving between, and working across, employment sectors can bring benefits to research and researchers, and support opportunities for researchers to experience this.					
PCDM2		Promote/facilitate the new mentoring scheme as highlighted in the new R&KE N Strategy	o May-26		 i) >20% of research staff engage with the new mentoring scheme; ii) 2025 CEDARS survey - success measure = > 45% agree/agree strongly that they are aware of the support their institution provides for their career and professional development; 	
PCDR2	Researchers explore and prepare for a range of employment options across different sectors, such as by making use of mentors, careers professionals, training and secondments.	As in PCDM2 above - actions and success measures apply N	o May-26	RKED, HR	As in PCDM2 above - actions and success measures apply	
	Researchers consider opportunities to develop their	Signpost researchers to KT activities and resources including the RKE Festival, Knowledge Transfer Partnerships (KTP) and the Knowledge Exchange Concordat (KEC) Ye			i) 2025 CEDARS survey - success measure = > 45% have engaged with a particular activity; Knowledge exchange; Public policy development; Public engagement; Citizen science or co-reation of research with society; Experience of other employment sectors; Secondment/placement in another employment sector; participate in institution policy and decision-making ii) positive feedback from focus groups on support for relevant KE activities	

^{*}The Researcher Development Concordat defines researchers as individuals whose primary responsibility is to conduct research and who are employed specifically for this purpose by a higher education institution or research institute. The primary audience is research estaff, e.g. postdoctoral researchers, research fellows, research assistants. The Researcher Development Concordat encourages institutions to include other groups who actively engage in research as beneficiaries of their Concordat action plan. These could be postgraduate researchers; staff on teaching and research, or teaching contracts; clinicians; professional support staff; technicians.